Laser magnetic resonance study of the gas phase reactions of OH with CO, NO, and NO₂
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A laser magnetic resonance spectrometer has been used in combination with a discharge-flow system to measure the gas phase reaction rates of the OH radical with CO, NO, and NO₂ at 296 K and over a pressure range 0.4–5 torr. For the bimolecular reaction OH+CO → CO₂+H we measure a rate constant, \( k = 1.56 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3/\text{molecule} \cdot \text{sec} \). For the termolecular reactions OH+NO+M → HNO₂+M, M=He, \( k = 4.0 \times 10^{-31} \text{ cm}^3/\text{molecule}^2 \cdot \text{sec} \), M=Ar, \( k = 4.4 \times 10^{-31} \text{ cm}^3/\text{molecule}^2 \cdot \text{sec} \). For the reaction OH+NO₂+N₂ → HNO₂+N₂, \( k = 2.9 \times 10^{-39} \text{ cm}^3/\text{molecule}^2 \cdot \text{sec} \).

I. INTRODUCTION

Laser magnetic resonance (LMR) was first developed to study the rotational Zeeman spectra of O₂. The original spectrometer used a 337 μm HCN laser and had the absorption cell outside the laser cavity. Since then, the sensitivity of the system has been increased considerably by locating the absorption cell inside the laser cavity and by using an improved infrared detector. Spectra of the stable paramagnetic molecules NO and NO₂ have been studied, and recently the transient free radicals OH, CH, HO₂, and HCO have also been observed.

The LMR technique offers very high sensitivity, \( 2 \times 10^6 \text{ OH molecules/cm}^3 \), for example, compared with sensitivities of approximately \( 2 \times 10^{12} \text{ molecules/cm}^3 \) with ESR, about \( 10^{11} \text{ molecules/cm}^3 \) with uv \((A \, ^2 \Pi \rightarrow X \, ^2 \Pi)\) resonance absorption, and about \( 3 \times 10^9 \text{ molecules/cm}^3 \) with uv resonance fluorescence using a water vapor discharge light source. The highest sensitivity for OH, about \( 3 \times 10^9 \text{ molecules/cm}^3 \), has been obtained with resonance fluorescence using a frequency doubled dye laser light source. The combination of high sensitivity and versatility makes the LMR method particularly attractive in the study of the reaction chemistry of triatomic radicals such as HO₂ which are generally studied by indirect methods in which the radical is not detected.

In order to test the usefulness of the LMR system for kinetic measurements we have selected several important and interesting reactions of OH for the first investigation. The reaction with CO,

\[ \text{OH} + \text{CO} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H} \quad (1) \]

is probably the most frequently studied OH reaction and provides a convenient standard since its rate constant is moderately large and not strongly temperature dependent. This reaction is an important step in most combustion and atmospheric mechanisms.

The reactions of OH with NO and NO₂,

\[ \text{OH} + \text{NO} + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{HNO}_2 + \text{M} \quad (2) \]

and

\[ \text{OH} + \text{NO}_2 + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{HNO}_3 + \text{M} \quad (3) \]

represent radical chain terminating reactions. These reactions are particularly important to the development of accurate models describing the chemistry of normal and polluted atmospheres. Most of the active chemical processes in the atmosphere below 50 km altitude involve one or more radical reactants, and Reactions (2) and (3) are important as major sinks for three reactive atmospheric molecules.

These results are discussed and compared with other measurements.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

A. Apparatus

The general technique of laser magnetic resonance is very similar to other magnetic resonance methods such as ESR and NMR. While NMR uses radio frequency radiation to produce transitions between nuclear spin levels, and ESR uses microwave radiation to produce transitions between electron spin levels, LMR uses radiation in the far infrared to produce transitions between rotational levels in paramagnetic molecules. Absorption is accomplished by tuning the molecule into resonance with a far infrared laser by means of a magnetic field.

A simplified rotational energy level diagram illustrating the mechanism of laser magnetic resonance absorption is shown in Fig. 1. The energy is not given to scale in order to illustrate the effect of magnetic splitting of the rotational levels, and not all the levels which arise from \( \Lambda \) doubling and nuclear spin are shown. The near coincidence of the laser line \( E_{\perp} \) with the field free rotational transition \( E(J = \frac{3}{2} - J = \frac{1}{2}) \) is shown on the left. The symbols + and − under the rotational quantum number \( J \) indicate the parity of the \( \Lambda \) doublet components.
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which is used to adjust the length of the cavity to the peak and phase sensitive detection of the laser signal.

The wavelength of the lasing line is identified by measuring the distance between successive dipole transitions are generally a small fraction of the output power, therefore it is necessary to modulate the magnetic field and use a dc discharge (5-8 kV at 0.3-0.8 A) in a 68 mm i.d. 4 m long tube containing a low pressure (0.6-0.8 Torr, 1 Torr = 133.3 Pa) H2O/H2 or D2O/D2 gas mixture. Laser wavelengths of 78.4, 79.1, or 118.6 μm with H2O/H2 mixtures and 84.3 or 107.7 μm with D2O/D2 mixtures are commonly used. Most of the operating wavelength have been determined by very accurate frequency measurements, allowing identification of the energy levels in the water molecule which give rise to lasing.

The laser cavity is divided into two sections by a 3 × 10^{-4} cm thick polyethylene film window. The window serves three purposes: (1) It provides a vacuum seal between the laser discharge and the absorption region; (2) it acts as a partial reflector to couple a small fraction of the laser radiation out of the cavity to a detector, and for this purpose, the angle of the window with respect to the axis of the laser is adjustable near the Brewster angle; and (3) it restricts the laser radiation to a single linear polarization, which can be oriented either parallel (E_\parallel \perp H) or perpendicular (E_\perp \perp H) to the magnetic field in the absorption region. This feature is important in spectroscopic applications of the LMR system, because it is often possible to identify transitions by the different selection rules that operate for each polarization.

The laser operates on a single frequency and has a very narrow bandwidth. Single frequency operation is possible because the mode spacing (about 40 MHz) is much wider than the laser gain curve (about 6 MHz). An iris is used to eliminate off axis modes. A measurement of the frequency stability of a similar laser at 78.4 μm showed a drift of less than 1 MHz for a period of about 1/2 h.

Two types of detectors are used. A Golay cell is used to make test measurements and adjustments on the laser, and a liquid helium cooled germanium bolometer is used to record the absorption spectrum.

The attenuation of the laser radiation by absorption is generally a small fraction of the output power, therefore it is necessary to modulate the magnetic field and use phase sensitive detection of the laser signal. A schematic diagram of the apparatus is shown in Fig. 2. The laser oscillates in a resonant cavity defined by two gold coated spherical mirrors with radii of approximately 11 and 7 m. One mirror is attached to a micrometer drive, which is used to adjust the length of the cavity to the peak of the laser line. The wavelength of the lasing line is identified by measuring the distance between successive cavity resonances. Continuous wave lasing is produced by a dc discharge (5-8 kV at 0.3-0.8 A) in a 68 mm i.d. 4 m long tube containing a low pressure (0.6-0.8 Torr, 1 Torr = 133.3 Pa) H2O/H2 or D2O/D2 gas mixture. Laser wavelengths of 78.4, 79.1, or 118.6 μm with H2O/H2 mixtures and 84.3 or 107.7 μm with D2O/D2 mixtures are commonly used. Most of the operating wavelength have been determined by very accurate frequency measurements, allowing identification of the energy levels in the water molecule which give rise to lasing.

The laser cavity is divided into two sections by a 3 × 10^{-4} cm thick polyethylene film window. The window serves three purposes: (1) It provides a vacuum seal between the laser discharge and the absorption region; (2) it acts as a partial reflector to couple a small fraction of the laser radiation out of the cavity to a detector, and for this purpose, the angle of the window with respect to the axis of the laser is adjustable near the Brewster angle; and (3) it restricts the laser radiation to a single linear polarization, which can be oriented either parallel (E_\parallel \perp H) or perpendicular (E_\perp \perp H) to the magnetic field in the absorption region. This feature is important in spectroscopic applications of the LMR system, because it is often possible to identify transitions by the different selection rules that operate for each polarization.

The laser operates on a single frequency and has a very narrow bandwidth. Single frequency operation is possible because the mode spacing (about 40 MHz) is much wider than the laser gain curve (about 6 MHz). An iris is used to eliminate off axis modes. A measurement of the frequency stability of a similar laser at 78.4 μm showed a drift of less than 1 MHz for a period of about 1/2 h.

Two types of detectors are used. A Golay cell is used to make test measurements and adjustments on the laser, and a liquid helium cooled germanium bolometer is used to record the absorption spectrum.

The attenuation of the laser radiation by absorption is generally a small fraction of the output power, therefore it is necessary to modulate the magnetic field and use phase sensitive detection of the laser signal. A schematic diagram of the apparatus is shown in Fig. 2. The laser oscillates in a resonant cavity defined by two gold coated spherical mirrors with radii of approximately 11 and 7 m. One mirror is attached to a micrometer drive, which is used to adjust the length of the cavity to the peak of the laser line. The wavelength of the lasing line is identified by measuring the distance between successive cavity resonances. Continuous wave lasing is produced by a dc discharge (5-8 kV at 0.3-0.8 A) in a 68 mm i.d. 4 m long tube containing a low pressure (0.6-0.8 Torr, 1 Torr = 133.3 Pa) H2O/H2 or D2O/D2 gas mixture. Laser wavelengths of 78.4, 79.1, or 118.6 μm with H2O/H2 mixtures and 84.3 or 107.7 μm with D2O/D2 mixtures are commonly used. Most of the operating wavelength have been determined by very accurate frequency measurements, allowing identification of the energy levels in the water molecule which give rise to lasing.

The laser cavity is divided into two sections by a 3 × 10^{-4} cm thick polyethylene film window. The window serves three purposes: (1) It provides a vacuum seal between the laser discharge and the absorption region; (2) it acts as a partial reflector to couple a small fraction of the laser radiation out of the cavity to a detector, and for this purpose, the angle of the window with respect to the axis of the laser is adjustable near the Brewster angle; and (3) it restricts the laser radiation to a single linear polarization, which can be oriented either parallel (E_\parallel \perp H) or perpendicular (E_\perp \perp H) to the magnetic field in the absorption region. This feature is important in spectroscopic applications of the LMR system, because it is often possible to identify transitions by the different selection rules that operate for each polarization.

The laser operates on a single frequency and has a very narrow bandwidth. Single frequency operation is possible because the mode spacing (about 40 MHz) is much wider than the laser gain curve (about 6 MHz). An iris is used to eliminate off axis modes. A measurement of the frequency stability of a similar laser at 78.4 μm showed a drift of less than 1 MHz for a period of about 1/2 h.

Two types of detectors are used. A Golay cell is used to make test measurements and adjustments on the laser, and a liquid helium cooled germanium bolometer is used to record the absorption spectrum.

FIG. 1. Rotational energy level diagram showing near coincidence of laser line E_L with rotational transition E(J=3/2 - J=1/2) on the left and the magnetic field splitting of the rotational states producing resonant absorption between sublevels at two magnetic field strengths. Note that the energy scale has been greatly compressed to illustrate the effect of the magnetic splitting to make up the field free energy difference ΔE.

The strongest absorptions are due to electric dipole transitions. The appropriate selection rules are determined by the polarization of the laser radiation relative to the magnetic field, with ΔM_J = 0 for parallel polarization (E_\parallel \perp H) and ΔM_J = ±1 for perpendicular polarization (E_\perp \perp H). The selection rules for the weaker magnetic dipole transitions are ΔM_J = ±1 (E_\parallel \perp H) and ΔM_J = 0 (E_\perp \perp H). More detailed discussions of the spectroscopic applications of LMR are given in references cited earlier.3-6

The attenuation of the laser radiation by absorption is generally a small fraction of the output power, therefore it is necessary to modulate the magnetic field and use phase sensitive detection of the laser signal. A schematic diagram of the apparatus is shown in Fig. 2. The laser oscillates in a resonant cavity defined by two gold coated spherical mirrors with radii of approximately 11 and 7 m. One mirror is attached to a micrometer drive, which is used to adjust the length of the cavity to the peak of the laser line. The wavelength of the lasing line is identified by measuring the distance between successive cavity resonances. Continuous wave lasing is produced by a dc discharge (5-8 kV at 0.3-0.8 A) in a 68 mm i.d. 4 m long tube containing a low pressure (0.6-0.8 Torr, 1 Torr = 133.3 Pa) H2O/H2 or D2O/D2 gas mixture. Laser wavelengths of 78.4, 79.1, or 118.6 μm with H2O/H2 mixtures and 84.3 or 107.7 μm with D2O/D2 mixtures are commonly used. Most of the operating wavelength have been determined by very accurate frequency measurements, allowing identification of the energy levels in the water molecule which give rise to lasing.

The laser cavity is divided into two sections by a 3 × 10^{-4} cm thick polyethylene film window. The window serves three purposes: (1) It provides a vacuum seal between the laser discharge and the absorption region; (2) it acts as a partial reflector to couple a small fraction of the laser radiation out of the cavity to a detector, and for this purpose, the angle of the window with respect to the axis of the laser is adjustable near the Brewster angle; and (3) it restricts the laser radiation to a single linear polarization, which can be oriented either parallel (E_\parallel \perp H) or perpendicular (E_\perp \perp H) to the magnetic field in the absorption region. This feature is important in spectroscopic applications of the LMR system, because it is often possible to identify transitions by the different selection rules that operate for each polarization.

The laser operates on a single frequency and has a very narrow bandwidth. Single frequency operation is possible because the mode spacing (about 40 MHz) is much wider than the laser gain curve (about 6 MHz). An iris is used to eliminate off axis modes. A measurement of the frequency stability of a similar laser at 78.4 μm showed a drift of less than 1 MHz for a period of about 1/2 h.

Two types of detectors are used. A Golay cell is used to make test measurements and adjustments on the laser, and a liquid helium cooled germanium bolometer is used to record the absorption spectrum.

FIG. 2. Schematic diagram of laser magnetic resonance system with flow reactor used in kinetic studies.
is used for radical detection. The bolometer offers several advantages over other detectors, since it is very sensitive (noise equivalent power is $1 \times 10^{-12}$ W/Hz$^{1/2}$ at 320 Hz) and has a relatively high frequency response (about 75% of dc at 1 kHz). Normal total power output levels from the laser are from 1-100 $\mu$W. The power absorbed by radicals is between 0.3 and about $10^{-4}$ of the total laser power.

A uniform magnetic field (indicated as an arrow in Fig. 2) is produced by a 38.1 cm electromagnet with 14 cm ring shimmed pole tips and a 5.08 cm air gap. Fields up to 23.5 kG can be obtained in the absorption volume. An adjustable field modulation of up to 100 G at 270 Hz is used to obtain the modulated absorption signal. The absorption volume is a small region, about 2 cm$^3$, defined by the intersection of the mutually perpendicular laser beam, homogeneous magnetic field, and the flow tube axis.

The flow tube is vertical as shown in Fig. 2. Two different 1.1 m long flow tubes made from precision bore Pyrex tubing, 1.905 and 2.54 cm i.d., were used. The carrier gas, helium, argon, or nitrogen, which is normally more than 99% of the total gas flow, enters the flow tube at the top, flows down the tube, passes through the intersection with the laser absorption tube, and is evacuated by the action of a 10 liter/sec mechanical pump. Average flow velocities range between 100 and 1200 cm/sec. OH radicals are produced in the carrier stream by the fast reaction of NO$_2$ with H atoms,

$$\text{H} + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}.$$  

(4)

The NO$_2$ is added through the added gas port about 7 cm downstream from the carrier gas inlet and H atoms are generated in a 2450 MHz microwave discharge in a side tube and are added through a third port labeled "free radical source" in Fig. 2. Generally the NO$_2$ is added slight excess to assure rapid conversion of the H to OH. The excess NO$_2$ does not interfere with the other reaction processes. In the OH + CO reaction study, however, excess NO$_2$ would cause a problem since the H atoms generated by reaction with CO would reform OH. Therefore, all measurements on this reaction were made under the condition of excess H and very small NO$_2$ flows.

The inside surface of the flow tube has been coated with phosphoric acid or boric acid to inhibit the destruction of radicals on the Pyrex wall. The phosphoric acid coating was prepared by boiling the standard laboratory reagent (86% H$_3$PO$_4$) to produce a heavy syrup which was applied while warm with a glass wool brush. The boric acid coating was prepared by dissolving about 30 g of H$_3$BO$_3$ per liter of water and was applied by rinsing the flow tube. Although both coatings were about equally effective in reducing radical wall loss, boric acid was preferred because it is less corrosive and easier to apply and remove.

The reactant gas, CO, NO, or NO$_2$, is injected by means of a moveable stainless steel tube into the carrier stream containing the OH radicals. The reactant tube is 1.2 m long by 3.18 mm o.d. and is attached to a scale which is used to measure its position over the range of $z(10-50 \text{ cm})$ from the absorption volume. The moveable injector is preferred over the variable reactant flow method of deriving rate data for two reasons: (1) There is no danger of altering the line shape of the absorption curve by pressure or collision broadening, since only the reaction time is changed and not the reactant gas pressure and (2) the absolute value of the reaction length $z$ does not have to be accurately measured, since relative values are adequate. The latter is an important consideration because the flow tube is terminated in a larger cross section absorption tube, making it difficult to accurately determine the transit time of the reaction gas mixture from the end of the flow tube to the absorption volume.

Thermal conductivity mass flowmeters are used to measure flow rates. The flowmeters were calibrated using three different techniques. For large flow rates (200-20 STP cm$^3$/sec, STP=0 °C, 760 Torr) a wet test meter was used; for medium flow rates (50-0.05 STP cm$^3$/sec) the pressure drop across a viscous flow element was used; and for small flow rates (0.5-10$^{-3}$ STP cm$^3$/sec) the pressure rate of change in a calibrated volume was used. The agreement of these overlapping methods was excellent, within 2%, and the error in all flow measurements is less than 5%.

The low vapor pressure and the dimerization of NO$_2$ made it necessary to measure all NO$_2$ flows by the rate of pressure change in a calibrated volume.

A capacitance manometer was used to measure the flow tube pressure. The factory calibration of the pressure meter was checked using a water manometer, and pressure measurements were accurate to within 2%.

Most gases were taken directly from high pressure cylinders with no special purification or trapping. The manufacturer stated minimum purity levels are as follows: He $>$ 99.999% (analyzed); Ar $>$ 99.999%; N$_2$ $>$ 99.999%; H$_2$ $>$ 99.95%; CO $>$ 99.99% (analyzed).

The NO was purified by passing the gas from a cylinder through a refrigerated (-77 °C) Pyrex coil containing silica gel. This procedure is very effective for removing most of the other oxides of nitrogen, and therefore the reactive impurities in NO. The NO$_2$ impurity, for example, should be much less than 0.1% after trapping.

NO$_2$ was purified by successive vacuum distillations of the cylinder gas at -196, -77, and 0 °C and stored as a liquid in a stainless steel cylinder. The color of the purified solid and vapor pressure of the liquid indicated that other nitrogen oxides and low boiling gases were adequately removed.

B. Technique and analysis

Although it was known that the laser magnetic resonance technique was very sensitive for detecting free radicals, it had not been previously established that the measured absorption signal was proportional to the radical number density. As with other magnetic resonance methods using modulated absorption, the absorption signal is detected as the derivative of the absorption curve. Because the linewidth may depend upon radical concen-
tration, there is a possibility that one or more integrations of the derivative curve would be necessary to obtain a measurement of relative radical concentrations.

A typical absorption trace taken with a pen recorder is shown in Fig. 3. Several tests were made to demonstrate that the peak to peak amplitude, indicated by the symbol $a$ in Fig. 3, is proportional to the number density of absorbing radicals in the absorption volume. First, several derivative absorption lines recorded at different radical concentrations were integrated to see if the lineshape changed with radical concentration. When no variation was detectable, a second test was made by measuring the derivative amplitude $a$ as a function of radical concentration. The measurements are shown in Fig. 4. NO$_2$ was used as an absorbing molecule because it is chemically stable in the flow system and therefore its absolute concentration is easily measured. The data are plotted on a logarithmic scale to display the two decade range of linearity. The measurements were made by adding small flows of NO$_2$ ($9 \times 10^{-4}$–$9.3 \times 10^{-2}$ STP cm$^3$/sec) to a large flow of helium (4.89 STP cm$^3$/sec) in order to maintain a constant total pressure which was independent of NO$_2$ addition rates in the flow tube. This procedure eliminates problems resulting from line shape changes due to pressure broadening.

An additional test was made to determine if the rate constant data were dependent upon the transition which was used to monitor the OH concentrations. No variation was observed when measurements using an ($\Sigma^2I_1/2$, $\Sigma^2I_3/2$, $v = 0$, $J = 3/2 - J = 3/2$) transition at 118.6 $\mu$m were compared with ($\Sigma^2I_3/2$, $v = 0$, $J = 3/2 - J = 3/2$) measurements at 54 $\mu$m. This result is reasonable when one considers that the OH rotational levels are in equilibrium and that relaxation occurs at nearly gas kinetic collision rates.$^{16}$

Thus, we have concluded that measurements of relative radical concentrations are easily obtained from LMR spectrometer data by measuring the peak to peak amplitude of the derivative curves. Care is always taken to assure that there are no changes in the experimental parameters which affect line shape, i.e., gas pressure, modulation amplitude, and detector time constant, during the period in which a single set of relative concentration measurements are made.

We have also observed that the fluctuations in the sensitivity of the detection system are negligible (less than 3%) for periods of about 15 min, the time required for taking data for a single rate constant determination. The LMR signal is surprisingly insensitive to laser power. For example it changes less than 10% when the laser power is reduced by a factor of four by changing the discharge current.

The high sensitivity of LMR offers the advantage of studying reactions as pseudo-first-order radical loss processes, where relative radical concentration measurements are adequate. In general, the methods and analysis used here are identical to those described by others$^{17,18}$ employing flow systems. The remainder of this section will describe the methods and tests which were made to demonstrate the reliability of the analysis in our application.

It is assumed that the bulk properties of the gas stream, temperature, pressure, and flow velocity are determined by the carrier gas flow. The concentrations of carrier gas, reactant gas, and OH molecules are in the ranges $(20–1) \times 10^{16}$, $(50–1) \times 10^{13}$, and $(300–1) \times 10^9$ molecules/cm$^3$, respectively.

Figure 5 is a plot of the axial OH concentration profile in the flow tube. The OH radicals are generated by Reaction (4) from hydrogen atoms produced in a microwave discharge in a mixture of helium and hydrogen. The gas flow through the discharge is normally very small (about...
be described by the rate equation

\[
0.05 \text{ STP cm}^3/\text{sec of He and } < 0.01 \text{ STP cm}^3/\text{sec of H}_2 \]

and is not a significant perturbation on the carrier gas flow. To take the data in Fig. 5, a moveable OH source was obtained by adding a small flow of NO\textsubscript{2} to the carrier gas stream through the moveable reactant tube. Thus it was possible to directly measure the OH loss processes occurring in the absence of all other reactants. From the linearity of the semilog plot it is evident that the OH loss rate is purely first order and may be described by the rate equation

\[
d(OH)/dt = k'(OH),
\]

where \(k'\) is the first order decay rate constant. This may be rewritten in the integrated form

\[
(OH)_t = (OH)_0 \exp(-k'z/\bar{v}),
\]

where the flow system substitution \(t = z/\bar{v}\) has been made, \(z\) is the distance from the end of the moveable inlet to the absorption volume (as shown in Fig. 2), and \(\bar{v}\) is the average flow velocity of the gas stream.

If one makes the reasonable assumption that the only effect of moving the point of addition of NO\textsubscript{2} is to vary the location of the OH source, i.e., that the precursor, H atom, concentration does not change significantly down the tube, \(k' = k_w\) the first order wall loss constant. The value of \(\gamma\), the fraction of OH–wall collisions which destroy the OH, is readily calculated from the value of \(k_w\):

\[
\gamma = 2 r k_w / \bar{v},
\]

where \(r\) is the tube radius and \(\bar{v}\) is the average molecular velocity for OH. For OH radicals, values of \(\gamma\) are \((1-2) \times 10^{-5}\), similar to the observations of Anderson and Kaufman\textsuperscript{19} and Westenberg and de Haas.\textsuperscript{20} Since a typical value of \(\gamma\) for H atoms\textsuperscript{21} is between \(10^{-4} - 10^{-5}\), the heterogeneous loss of H is negligible compared to OH, as assumed.

This measurement of the wall loss rate also allows an estimate of the sensitivity of the system to be made. The estimate is based on experiments in which a small measured NO\textsubscript{2} flow was added to a carrier gas stream containing excess H atoms. It was assumed that one OH radical was generated for each NO\textsubscript{2} molecule added. The OH concentration in the absorption region was calculated using the measured OH wall loss rate. The corresponding LMR signal was compared to the noise level with a 1 sec time constant to derive a sensitivity of about \(2 \times 10^8\) OH/cm\(^3\) for a signal to noise ratio of 1.

The absence of any second order OH loss eliminates the necessity of considering radical–radical reactions such as

\[
\text{OH} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}
\]

and

\[
\text{O} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{H}
\]

in analyzing rate data. These reactions often make a significant contribution to the over-all OH loss rate in systems used to study OH reactions and may contribute serious errors to attempts to derive rate data.\textsuperscript{9} A further estimate of the importance of other secondary reactions can be made based upon the OH concentrations (< \(3 \times 10^{11}\) molecules/cm\(^3\)) in the flow tube. Even if one assumes a very large rate constant of \(10^{11}\) cm\(^3\)/molec. · sec, the first order rate constant for loss of OH due to secondary reactions is less than 3 sec\(^{-1}\), which is small compared to 20–90 sec\(^{-1}\) for the reaction rates. Also, since we observed no variations in the measured rate constants at different initial OH concentrations, we conclude that secondary reactions are negligible.

The axial pressure gradient \(dp/dz\) (Torr/cm) may be estimated for Poiseuille flow by

\[
dp/dz = 6 \times 10^{-3} \eta \bar{v} / \bar{v}^2,
\]

where \(\eta\) is the carrier gas viscosity in poise. The largest relative error \(\Delta p/p\) occurs at the lowest pressure and highest flow velocity. For a 50 cm reaction zone, \(\Delta p/p \approx 7\%\) in the worst case. The errors contributed by this effect are reduced by measuring the average flow tube pressure near the middle of the reaction zone and no further corrections are applied.

Since the average flow velocity \(\bar{v}\) of the carrier gas is taken as the average transport velocity for OH radicals in the measurement of the rate constants, it is assumed (1) that the radicals are uniformly distributed across the diameter of the flow tube, i.e., no radial concentration gradients, and (2) that the transport of radicals along the axial concentration gradient produced by reaction is negligible. Kaufman\textsuperscript{17} has discussed the errors contributed to flow reactor rate measurements by these effects.

First, because there is a very significant destruction rate of OH on the wall, it is clear that there must be some radial concentration gradients. However, if ra-
dial diffusion is sufficiently rapid the concentration will be nearly uniform and the effect can be ignored. Estimates of the magnitude of radial concentration gradients can be made using the equation derived by Kaufman\textsuperscript{17} and diffusion coefficients estimated from the data compiled by Marrero and Mason.\textsuperscript{23} For the range of first order wall and homogeneous reaction rates encountered here, diffusion is estimated to be sufficiently rapid in helium at pressures less than about 5 Torr. The reliability of this estimate has been demonstrated by studying the pressure dependence of the purely bimolecular reaction of OH with CO up to 30 Torr. The measured rate constant did not change up to about 8 Torr then fell off about 20\% in the range up to 30 Torr. A similar but more pronounced effect was found in argon and nitrogen, for nitrogen the onset was near 3 Torr and the falloff was about 60\% at 30 Torr. This difference is probably due to the low diffusion coefficients of OH in argon and nitrogen gases. Since the pressure dependence of the termolecular reactions of NO and NO\textsubscript{2} with OH is an important measurement, an attempt was made to extend the pressure range by employing an empirical correction based on the OH-CO measurements to data on these reactions. This was unsuccessful, however, because of a very large scatter in the high pressure data. The scatter may have resulted from the high sensitivity of the radial gradient effect to the wall loss rates,\textsuperscript{17} which are not perfectly reproducible in the different reactions.

Some difficulty in the high pressure rate constant measurements was also caused by poor mixing of the reactant gas with the carrier stream at high flow velocities ($\overline{v} \approx 1000$ cm/sec). The problem was alleviated by injecting the reactant gas through a small perforated loop of Teflon tubing attached to the end of the moveable reactant tube.

There have been other observations of difficulties in attempts to measure OH rate constants in flow reactors at high pressures. Anderson et al.\textsuperscript{23} have attributed the pressure dependence of the NO\textsubscript{2} reaction\textsuperscript{19} to improper radial mixing. Poirier and Carr\textsuperscript{24} have made an analysis of the OH radial concentration profiles produced by concurrent first and second order reactions superimposed on Poiseuille flow. However, we feel that the uncertainties involved in making corrections to the high pressure data are too large to warrant the effort, and only low pressure results are reported.

The effects of axial diffusion are more readily dealt with. A first order correction can be estimated from the relationship\textsuperscript{17}

$$k_1 = k'(1 + k'D/\overline{v}^2),$$

where $k_1$ is the corrected first order reaction rate constant, $k'$ is the measured first order rate constant, and $D$ is the OH-carrier gas binary diffusion coefficient in cm$^2$/sec. The correction is largest at low pressures, where it is estimated to be about 6\% in helium at 0.5 Torr (the worst case). The helium carrier gas data were adjusted accordingly, as discussed later, but since the diffusion coefficients for OH in argon and nitrogen are about one-third the value for helium, the correction is neglected for these gases.

A small correction is also made for the destruction of OH on the surface of the moveable reactant tube. This effect is very reproducible and is observed as a small increase in the OH signal as the injector is withdrawn from the gas stream. The change results from the reduction of the area of the reactant tube exposed to the OH stream. This effect is shown in Fig. 6 by the open circles, which are the OH signal with no CO reactant added through the moveable tube. Each reaction data point (closed circles) has been corrected downward by the factor that the injector increases the signal in the blank run. Note that the correction is quite small compared to the change produced by reaction.

Bimolecular rate constants are calculated from first order decay plots, such as Fig. 6, by dividing the first order rate constant, $k'$ (sec$^{-1}$) as in Eq. (6), by the reactant number density (molecules/cm$^3$). Termolecular rate constants are the slope of a graph of the effective bimolecular rate constants as a function of the buffer gas (M) number density and will be discussed later.

The accuracy of the measurements based upon a consideration of systematic errors in the flow rate, pressure, and reaction length measurements is about 8\%. This can be compared with a standard deviation of about 6\% for the CO reaction. However, because of other less tangible uncertainties, such as the validity of the bulk flow model used in the analysis, we consider a factor of $\pm 15\%$ as a reasonable estimate of the accuracy of the rate constants with 90\% confidence.
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**III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**A. OH + CO $\rightarrow$ CO$_2$ + H [Reaction (1)]**

The CO reaction rate constant reported is an average of 21 measurements in helium (8), argon (10), and nitrogen (3). The correction for axial diffusion discussed earlier [Eq. (11)] was applied to the measurements in helium, using a diffusion coefficient of 500 Torr $\cdot$ cm$^2$/sec. This correction raised the average for all the measurements only 1% but reduced the standard deviation by about 10%.

A summary of measurements from the literature of the OH-CO rate constant $k_1$ at room temperature is presented in Table I for comparison with the present measurement. The error limits are those of the (sometimes highly optimistic) authors. The general agreement is quite good, especially considering the widely different techniques employed. Four different OH detection schemes and two different rate methods were used. The two mass spectrometer measurements are set apart because OH was not detected.

Westenberg and de Haas$^{20}$ recently point out that the earlier work in their laboratory, Dixon-Lewis et al.,$^{27}$ is probably an overestimation of the rate constant because the following was neglected: (1) OH wall loss and (2) the second order OH reaction, Reaction (8). Corrections for axial diffusion and wall loss are included in the Westenberg and de Haas measurement.$^{20}$

Smith and Zellner,$^{28}$ Davis,$^{29}$ and Stuhl and Niki$^{30}$ employed static photolysis systems. OH radicals were generated by flash photolysis of H$_2$O or by photolytic O($^3$D) formation followed by the rapid reaction with H$_2$ or H$_2$O. The OH decay was monitored by OH ($A^3\Sigma^+-X^5\Pi$) resonance fluorescence$^{29,30}$ or resonance absorption.$^{28}$ The dimensions of the reaction vessels were large and the operating pressures were high so as to make wall loss negligible. Also, the relative OH/CO concentrations are adjusted to minimize the second order OH reaction. The greatest uncertainties in these measurements are probably due to the rather small range of OH decay and problems of preparing and then maintaining quantitative mixtures of buffer, OH source, and reactant gases in the reaction cell. However, the method is extremely versatile for variable temperature and high pressure reaction rate studies.

### TABLE I. Summary of OH + CO $\rightarrow$ reaction measurements (296 K).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate constant ($k_1$) ($10^{-15}$ cm$^3$/molecule $\cdot$ sec)</th>
<th>OH detection method</th>
<th>Rate measurement technique</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.56 ± 0.2</td>
<td>Laser magnetic resonance</td>
<td>Discharge flow</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.45 ± 0.22</td>
<td>uv resonance absorption</td>
<td>Flash photolysis</td>
<td>Smith and Zellner$^{28}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.62 ± 0.08</td>
<td>uv resonance fluorescence</td>
<td>Flash photolysis</td>
<td>Davis$^{29}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>Electron spin resonance</td>
<td>Discharge flow</td>
<td>Westenberg and de Haas$^{20}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.35 ± 0.2</td>
<td>uv resonance fluorescence</td>
<td>Flash photolysis</td>
<td>Stuhl and Niki$^{30}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>Kinetic spectroscopy</td>
<td>Discharge flow</td>
<td>Greiner$^{31}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.91 ± 0.08</td>
<td>Electron spin resonance</td>
<td>Discharge flow</td>
<td>Dixon-Lewis et al.,$^{27}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.66 ± 0.5</td>
<td>Mass spectrometer$^a$</td>
<td>Discharge flow</td>
<td>Mulcahy and Smith$^{35}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.73 ± 0.02</td>
<td>Mass spectrometer$^a$</td>
<td>Discharge flow</td>
<td>Wilson and O'Donovan$^{26}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$OH was not detected. Rate constant determined from mass spectrometer analysis of other species.

**FIG. 7.** Plot of effective bimolecular rate constants $k_{b4}$ for NO reaction measured with 1.905 cm diam flow tube. Slope $=k_2$ (M = He) = -3.98 ($\sigma = 0.10$) $\times 10^{-21}$ cm$^3$/molecule$^2$ $\cdot$ sec; $\sigma$ = standard deviation of the slope.

**FIG. 8.** Plot of effective bimolecular rate constants $k_{b4}$ for NO reaction measured with 2.54 cm diam flow tube. Slope $=k_4$ (M = Ar) = 4.45 ($\sigma = 0.13$) $\times 10^{-21}$ cm$^3$/molecule$^2$ $\cdot$ sec; $\sigma$ = standard deviation of the slope.
The rate constant reported for Greiner is the average of four measurements made at 300–305 °K, three of which were reanalyzed from earlier work. His method was to flash photolyze H$_2$O and to measure OH decay rates using kinetic spectroscopy.

Mulcahy and Smith and Wilson and O'Donovan have reported values of the OH + CO rate constant from discharge-flow mass spectrometer measurements. The methods are similar to the earlier work of Herron and are based on an analysis of the CO$_2$ product in reaction mixtures of H added to excess NO$_2$ and CO.

Wilson and O'Donovan have redone the measurement of Herron and attribute the discrepancy in his low results to (1) improper correction for Reaction (8), (2) neglect of wall loss, and (3) neglect of any reaction of OH with excess NO$_2$. They independently derive $k_1 = 1.46 \times 10^{-13}$ cm$^3$/molecule·sec and adjust this value up about 15% based on their measurement of $k_1/k_8$ and the rate for Reaction (8) from Dixon-Lewis et al.

In their study, Mulcahy and Smith extended the mass spectrometer analysis to the detection of H$_2$, H$_2$O, NO, O$_2$, and NO in addition to CO$_2$. They also investigate the kinetics of the H/NO$_2$/CO system under conditions of excess H. They conclude that there are significant wall loss processes. In excess NO$_2$, if all OH losses not accountable by reactions with OH, O, and CO are attributed to a single OH + NO$_2$ – HNO$_2$ mechanism, an estimate of the NO$_2$ reaction rate can be made, following the analysis of Wilson and O'Donovan. This estimate will be discussed later.

The first six measurements in Table I are probably the most reliable. The average of these, $k_1 = 1.46 \times 10^{-13}$ cm$^3$/molecule·sec, would seem to be the best for the rate constant, and all six values are within 10% of this value.

**B. OH + NO + M $\rightarrow$ HNO$_2$ + M**

Rate constants for the termolecular reactions of OH are the slope of a plot of the effective bimolecular rate constant $k_{91}$ versus buffer gas (M) number density, for a series of measurements at different pressures. The data for the NO reactions are shown in Figs. 7–9 for $M = $He, Ar, and N$_2$. The solid straight lines are least-squares fits to the equally weighted data. The standard deviations of the slopes were about 3% of the slope. Only termolecular kinetics are observed at the relatively low pressures covered in this study. A summary of these and other measurements is presented in Table II.

If the gas phase termolecular reaction were the only OH loss process for the NO reaction, the data in Figs. 7–9 should extrapolate to a $k_{91} = 0$ intercept at zero pressure. The nonzero intercept is taken as evidence of a heterogeneous (OH + NO + wall) process as observed in the work of Anderson et al. and Westenberg and de Haas. However, it should be noted that this wall reaction does not affect the results and that the termolecular rate constant does not depend upon the wall condition. Although the magnitude of the intercept does de-

### Table II. Summary of OH + NO + M $\rightarrow$ reaction measurements (296 °K).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate constant ($k_2$) (10$^{-31}$ cm$^3$/molecule$^2$·sec)</th>
<th>OH detection method</th>
<th>Rate measurement technique</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$M = $He</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0 $\pm$ 0.6</td>
<td>Laser magnetic resonance</td>
<td>Discharge flow</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 $\pm$ 0.7</td>
<td>uv resonance fluorescence</td>
<td>Discharge flow</td>
<td>Anderson et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1 $\pm$ 0.6</td>
<td>uv resonance absorption</td>
<td>Flash photolysis</td>
<td>Morley and Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Electron spin resonance</td>
<td>Discharge flow</td>
<td>Westenberg and de Haas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>uv resonance fluorescence</td>
<td>Flash photolysis</td>
<td>Stuhl and Niki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M = $Ar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4 $\pm$ 0.7</td>
<td>Laser magnetic resonance</td>
<td>Discharge flow</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4 $\pm$ 0.7</td>
<td>uv resonance fluorescence</td>
<td>Discharge flow</td>
<td>Anderson et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Electron spin resonance</td>
<td>Discharge flow</td>
<td>Westenberg and de Haas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0 $\pm$ 2</td>
<td>uv resonance fluorescence</td>
<td>Discharge flow</td>
<td>Anderson and Kaufman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M = $N$_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.8 $\pm$ 1.2</td>
<td>Laser magnetic resonance</td>
<td>Discharge flow</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8 $\pm$ 1.2</td>
<td>uv resonance fluorescence</td>
<td>Discharge flow</td>
<td>Anderson et al.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. All values are for the termolecular low pressure rate constant $k_3$.

Two of the measurements, Simonaitis and Heicklen and Bérces and Förgeteg, use indirect methods. In these measurements, the rate constant determination is based upon (1) the relative yields of stable products formed in irradiated gas mixtures, (2) an assumed reaction mechanism, and (3) comparison with a known reaction rate constant. Simonaitis and Heicklen, for example, measure CO$_2$ yields from photolyzed mixtures of NO$_2$, H$_2$O, and CO. Their estimate of $k_3$ is based upon the rate constant for the OH+CO reaction. Their values in Table III assume $k_3 = 1.5 \times 10^{-18}$ cm$^3$/molecule$^{-1}$sec. Some of the discrepancy in their measurement of the temperature dependence of Reaction (3) is removed if one assumes the very recent determinations of the activation energy of Reaction (1) in lieu of the recommendation of the review of Baulch et al. of the activation energy of Reaction (1) in lieu of the recommendation of the review of Baulch et al.

Bérces and Förgeteg estimate $k_3$ from a measurement of its rate relative to the reaction

$$\text{OH} + \text{HNO}_3 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{NO}_3$$

(12)
in photolyzed mixtures of NO$_2$ and HNO$_3$. Morley and Smith give a critique of their assumed mechanism in order to account for the factor of 2000 disagreement.

The method of Mulcahy and Smith was discussed earlier. The value given for $k_3$ is actually the total rate constant for three mechanisms: (1) first order OH wall loss, (2) the heterogeneous reaction (OH+NO$_2$+wall) and (3) the termolecular Reaction (3). Their measurement, therefore, is likely to be too high.

In general, there are larger discrepancies between the recent measurements of the reaction of OH with NO and NO$_2$ than between the measurements of the reaction...
with CO. However, most of the direct measurements agree well with the present results. Therefore, we feel that rate constants near our values are the most reliable estimates.

We now hope to extend the application of the laser magnetic resonance spectrometer–flow reactor combination to the study of the rates and mechanisms of the free radicals such as HCO and HO₂, whose chemistry have remained uncertain for lack of a sufficiently sensitive detector.
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