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Abstract—Point source interferometry (PSI) is a promising technique that could lead to a compact, high-performance gyroscope based on atom interferometry. We consider the trade-offs in size and performance with PSI. In particular, we discuss the sensitivity and dynamic range for a simple PSI gyroscope with an evacuated volume ranging from 1 mm$^3$ to 10 cm$^3$. We also discuss the stability required for the initial atomic distribution in order to achieve part-per-million scale factor stability.

I. INTRODUCTION

Light pulse atom interferometers (LPAIs) are an emerging technology for precision inertial sensors [1]–[3]. LPAIs have demonstrated sensitivities to accelerations of $4.2 \times 10^{-8}$ m/s$^2$$/\sqrt{Hz}$ [4] and rotations of 80 µdeg$/\sqrt{Hz}$ [5]. However, the systems that have achieved this level of performance are delicate, laboratory instruments with a characteristic size of meters. To enable the use of these sensors in applications such as gravimetric surveys and inertial navigation, it is desirable to reduce the size and complexity of LPAIs. Recently, a new detection strategy known as point source interferometry (PSI) has been introduced [6] which has the potential to enable a navigation-grade LPAI gyroscope with an evacuated volume of approximately 1 cm$^3$.

Here we discuss the rotation sensitivity and dynamic range that could be achieved with a PSI gyroscope with an emphasis on the trade-offs between sensor size and performance. Section II reviews the physics of the PSI measurement. Section III estimates the sensitivity of the PSI scheme and quantifies the size-sensitivity trade-offs for a simple PSI gyroscope. Section IV considers two limits to the dynamic range of the PSI measurement. Section V discusses the stability required for the initial distribution. Finally, section VI concludes the paper.

II. PSI MEASUREMENT SCHEME

In LPAIs, laser pulses are used to coherently manipulate atomic wave packets. An interferometer is realized by arranging for the wave packets to split and then recombine after traveling over two or more distinct paths. If the paths enclose an area, the interferometer will be sensitive to rotations due to the matter-wave analog of the Sagnac effect [1]. Many variations on this idea have been studied over the years, but the most common approach has been to use stimulated Raman transitions to produce atomic trajectories analogous to a Mach-Zehnder optical interferometer [7]. This requires a sequence of three pulses, which is shown schematically in Fig. 1.

The interferometer pulse sequence begins at the left in Fig. 1 with an atom in an internal state $|0\rangle$ and momentum $\vec{p} = m\vec{x}$. First, an initial beamsplitter or $\pi/2$ pulse is applied. This pulse puts each atom into a coherent superposition of two states, $|0, \vec{p}\rangle$ and $|1, \vec{p} + \hbar \vec{k}_{\text{eff}}\rangle$. Crucially, the momentum of the $|1\rangle$ component of the superposition is increased by $\hbar \vec{k}_{\text{eff}}$, where $\vec{k}_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective wave-vector for the Raman transition. This momentum kick results from an atom absorbing and emitting photons during its interaction with the light pulse. After the first pulse, the atoms propagate freely for a period $T_{R}$, which allows the two components of the superposition to separate. Then, a mirror or $\pi$ pulse is applied which exchanges the two parts of the superposition. After a second free evolution period, the two components of the superposition overlap, and a final $\pi/2$ pulse recombines them. The state of the atoms after the pulse sequence is determined by the relative phase shift between the two paths [8]. The probability for an atom to be detected with internal state $|1\rangle$ can be described as

$$p = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + c \sin(\Phi) \right),$$

(1)

where $c$ is the contrast and $\Phi$ is the phase. Due to the Sagnac effect, a rotation of the interferometer produces a phase shift

$$\Phi_{\Omega} = \frac{2m\Omega}{\hbar} \vec{A} \cdot \vec{A} = 2\hbar \vec{k}_{\text{eff}} \cdot \left( \vec{\Omega} \times \vec{v} \right) T_{R}^2,$$

(2)

where $\vec{A} = \left( \hbar \vec{k}_{\text{eff}} / m \right) T_{R} \times (\vec{v} T_{R})$ is the area enclosed by the interferometer and $\vec{\Omega}$ is the rotation rate.

In order to realize a gyroscope with this three-pulse sequence, we need to separate $\Phi_{\Omega}$ from other phase shifts. In par-
ticular, accelerations of the interferometer also produce phase shifts which are given by \( \Phi_a = \vec{k}_{\text{eff}} \cdot \vec{a} T_{R}^2 \). \( \Phi_a \) and \( \Phi_r \) can be distinguished by exploiting the velocity dependence of \( \Phi_r \). This has typically been accomplished by implementing two simultaneous atom interferometers with counter-propagating atomic beams [5], [9] or launched clouds of cold atoms [10]–[12]. This strategy has led to excellent performance, but it also increases the size and complexity of the apparatus.

In PSI, counter-propagating atoms are obtained with a single atomic source by exploiting the residual velocity distribution of a cloud of cold atoms. The key idea is that the expansion of the cloud during the interferometer pulse sequence leads to a correlation between each atom’s final position, \( \vec{r} \), and its initial velocity, \( \vec{v} \). If the initial cloud is infinitesimally small, the correlation is perfect, and we have \( \vec{r} = 2T_R \vec{v} \). In this point-source limit, Eq. 2 becomes

\[
\Phi_r = \left( \vec{k}_{\text{eff}} \times \vec{\Omega} T_R \right) \cdot \vec{r} = \vec{k}_{\text{eff}} \cdot \vec{r},
\]

where \( \vec{k}_{\text{eff}} \) describes a spatial gradient in the interferometer phase. This phase gradient will produce a spatial fringe pattern in the state of the atoms, which can be detected by imaging the cloud. The two components of the rotation vector orthogonal to \( \vec{k}_{\text{eff}} \) can be determined from the magnitude and orientation of the phase gradient.

Although it is not possible to achieve an infinitesimally small initial cloud, these spatial fringe patterns are surprisingly robust. They can be detected even if the cloud expands by less than a factor of two during the interferometer sequence [13]. With a finite initial cloud size, the correlation between the atoms’ initial velocities and their final positions will be blurred because atoms from different regions of the initial cloud will arrive at the same final position with different velocities. This blurring leads to a loss of fringe contrast and a shift in the detected phase gradient which can limit the dynamic range and stability of a PSI gyroscope [13]. These effects are considered in more detail below.

With PSI, it is not necessary to use multiple atomic sources or launch the cold atom cloud. This suggests that a PSI gyroscope could be simpler and more compact than other LPAl gyroscopes. PSI also has an advantage in the trade-off between size and sensitivity compared to systems based on thermal beams or launched cold atom clouds because of the low velocity of the laser-cooled atoms. This can be seen by noting the interferometer period is limited to \( T_R = L/2v \), where \( L \) is the length of the interrogation region. Thus, the scale factor connecting the phase shift to the rotation rate can be written \( F = k_{\text{eff}} L^2/2v \). For a fixed \( L \), the rotation scale factor can be increased by decreasing the atomic velocity. A characteristic velocity for laser-cooled atoms is \( v \approx 0.1 \text{ m/s} \) compared to \( v \approx 300 \text{ m/s} \) for thermal atomic beams [5], [9] and \( v \approx 5 \text{ m/s} \) for launched cold atom clouds [10]–[12].

The interferometer period is also constrained by the atoms’ acceleration due to gravity. In this case, there is a velocity \( v_{\text{opt}} = L/2T_{R} \) which optimizes the rotation scale-factor. If the atoms are allowed to fall a distance \( L \) in a time \( 2T_R \), then \( v_{\text{opt}} = \sqrt{gL/2} \). With \( L = 1 \text{ cm} \), we have \( v_{\text{opt}} \approx 0.2 \text{ m/s} \), which is similar to the velocities naturally achieved with laser cooling. This suggests PSI is well suited to realizing an LPAl gyroscope with an interrogation volume on the order of \( 1 \text{ cm}^3 \).

### III. Sensitivity

In order to measure rotations with PSI, we must determine the phase gradient \( k_{\Omega} \) from a spatially resolved measurement of the transition probability. The minimum phase gradient that can be detected in a single shot, \( k_{\Omega,\text{min}} \), can be estimated by considering an initial cloud with \( N \) atoms divided equally between two velocities, \( v_{\pm} = \pm L/4T_R \), where \( L \) is the size of the detection region. From Eq. 1, the phase gradient is given by

\[
k_{\Omega} = 2(p_+ - p_-)/Lc,
\]

where \( p_\pm \) is the probability of detecting the atoms with velocity \( v_\pm \) in state \([1]\). Then, we have \( k_{\Omega,\text{min}} = 2\sqrt{2}\sigma_p/Lc \), where \( \sigma_p \) is the uncertainty in the measurement of \( p_\pm \). The uncertainty will be limited by quantum projection noise [14], which corresponds to \( \sigma_p = \sqrt{1/2N} \) since there are \( N/2 \) atoms with each velocity. Putting it all together, we find that the PSI rotation sensitivity is given by

\[
\delta\Omega = \frac{\sqrt{12}}{k_{\text{eff}} L T_R} \frac{\sqrt{T_c}}{\text{SNR}},
\]

where \( L \) is the length of the region used to estimate the phase gradient, \( T_c \) is the cycle period, and \( \text{SNR} \) is the signal-to-noise ratio. For quantum projection noise limited detection, \( \text{SNR} = cv\sqrt{N} \).

The sensitivity can be optimized by maximizing the SNR, \( T_R \), and the size of the detection region while minimizing \( T_c \). There are several trade-offs in size and sensitivity. First, the size of the device clearly limits \( L \). The device size also limits \( T_R \) because the atoms must not escape from the interrogation region due to their initial velocity or their acceleration due to gravity. Finally, there is a trade-off between size and SNR because the number of cold atoms available for use in the measurement scales strongly with the size of the device [16].

In order to explore the performance that could be achieved with a PSI gyroscope, it is useful to analyze a simple model system. We consider a cubic volume with side length \( L \), which is used to prepare, interrogate, and detect the atoms. Cold atoms can be produced by use of a vapor-cell Magneto-Optical Trap (MOT) with trapping beams that also have a diameter \( L \) [17]. For diameters \( L > 2 \text{ mm} \), the atom number is expected to scale as \( L^{3.6} \) [16], [18], [19], which means the SNR will scale as \( L^{1.6} \). The interferometer period will be limited by the atoms’ free fall. We choose \( T_R = \sqrt{L/4g} \) so that the cloud falls a distance \( L/2 \) during the pulse sequence. To ensure the detection region is not limited by the size of the final cloud, the cloud temperature, \( T \), must be set so that the cloud expands to fill the available space. The expanded cloud can be approximated as a Gaussian density distribution with a \( 1/e^2 \) diameter given by \( 8T_R\sqrt{\pi}\delta/\text{m} \). We set \( T = mgL/16k_B \) so that this \( 1/e^2 \) diameter is equal to \( L \). With this choice, approximately 90% of the atoms in the expanded cloud are contained in the detection region. Since the expanded cloud largely overlaps with the MOT capture...
region, it will be possible to recapture the cold atoms from run to run, enabling short cycle periods. For this example, we set the cycle period as $T_c = 4T_R$. Previous work on high data-rate atom interferometers suggests this choice leaves sufficient time for experimental details like initial state preparation and atom recapture [12], [20].

The estimated sensitivity for this model system is shown as a function of $L$ in Fig. 2. The model parameters for several different values of $L$ are summarized in Table I. This calculation indicates a PSI gyroscope with an evacuated volume with a characteristic size $L$ in the range 5-10 mm could achieve sensitivity that is interesting for navigation applications. One can also imagine ways to improve the sensitivity such as launching the atom cloud to increase $T_R$. It is also important to consider the laser performance required to achieve high contrast interferometer signals with quantum projection noise limited SNR, but we leave this question for future work.

### IV. Dynamic Range

There are two effects that constrain the maximum rotation rate that could be detected with PSI. The first effect is due to the finite size of the atomic wave packets. When $\Omega \neq 0$, the two interferometer arms shown in Fig. 1 do not overlap at the end of the pulse sequence because the rotation changes the direction of the momentum kick delivered by the mirror pulse [22]. The displacement between the two interferometer arms at the end of the sequence is given by $d = 2h/\hbar/mv_{\text{avg}}$. When this displacement is comparable to the wave packet size $\lambda$, the interferometer contrast will be significantly reduced. This leads to a maximum rotation rate of

$$\Omega_{\text{wp}} = \frac{m\lambda}{2\hbar \kappa_{\text{eff}} T_R^2}. \quad (5)$$

The wave packet size $\lambda$ can be estimated from the de Broglie relation $\lambda = h/\rho$.

The second effect that limits the dynamic range is due to the finite size of the initial cloud. The blurring of the correlation between position and velocity can be quantified by modeling the initial cloud as a sphere with diameter $d$. In this case, the range of velocities that arrive at the same final position in the expanded cloud is given by $\delta v = d/2T_R$. Via Eq. 2, this corresponds to a range of phase shifts which must be averaged over. When this range of phase shifts reaches $2\pi$, the fringe contrast will wash out. This leads to a maximum rotation rate of

$$\Omega_{\text{blur}} = \frac{2\pi}{\kappa_{\text{eff}} d T_R}. \quad (6)$$

The initial cloud size obtained with a MOT can be estimated by modeling the cloud as a uniform sphere with density $n_m$. For a wide range of atom numbers, the cloud density is limited to $n_m \approx 3 \times 10^{13}$ atoms/cm$^3$ by photon rescattering [18], [23].

Figure 3 shows these two limits to the dynamic range as a function of $L$ for the model PSI gyroscope introduced in Sec. III. We find that $\Omega_{\text{wp}}$ and $\Omega_{\text{blur}}$ are expected to have the same order of magnitude in this case.

### V. Stability and the Initial Atomic Distribution

Although the PSI scheme offers several advantages compared to other LPAI gyroscopes, these benefits come with a cost. The PSI fringe patterns depend on the details of the initial atomic distribution, and this can lead to frequency shifts and non-linearities in the relationship between the detected fringe pattern and the rotation rate [15]. This means it will be important to stabilize the initial atomic distribution. The required level of stabilization can be estimated by modeling the initial cloud as a Gaussian density distribution. In this case, it is possible to derive an exact expression for the detected PSI fringe patterns [13]. We find that the initial distribution leads to a shift in the gyroscope scale factor, which can be expressed as

$$F_R = F_\text{ps} \left(1 - \sigma_\Delta^2 / \sigma_I^2\right), \quad (7)$$
where $\sigma_0$ and $\sigma_f$ characterize the width of the initial cloud and the expanded cloud, and $F_{ps}$ is the scale factor in the point-source limit. From Eq. 3, we have $F_{ps} = k_{ef} T R$. From Eq. 7, we can calculate the effect of fluctuations in the initial size and temperature on the scale factor. The result is

$$\frac{\Delta F}{F} = \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_f^2} \left( \frac{2 \Delta \sigma_0}{\sigma_0} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\Delta T}{T} \right)^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

where $\Delta y$ represents the fluctuations in the quantity $y$. Figure 4 shows the expected fluctuations in the scale factor as a function of the fluctuations in the initial cloud size and the expansion ratio $\sigma_f/\sigma_0$. For navigation applications, it is desirable to have a scale factor stability on the order of $10^{-6}$. This level of stability could be achieved with an expansion factor of 25 and fluctuations in the initial cloud size of $10^{-3}$. This might be achieved by using an optical dipole trap to compress and stabilize the initial atomic distribution [17]. In this case, the trapping potential is approximately quadratic and the initial cloud would have a Gaussian density distribution.

VI. CONCLUSION

There is a wide design space to explore for a compact LPAI gyroscope based on PSI. We hope these estimates of the sensitivity, dynamic range, and stability requirements will be useful tools in the on-going work to investigate and optimize the PSI technique. A promising direction for future work is to consider PSI in micro-gravity. In this environment, the low velocity of laser-cooled atoms would lead to long interrogation periods and high sensitivity with a compact device.
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