## New Frequency Measurements and Laser Lines of Optically Pumped <sup>12</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>OH

F. RUSSELL PETERSEN, KENNETH M. EVENSON, DONALD A. JENNINGS, AND ARTEMIO SCALABRIN

Abstract—The frequencies of 70 optically pumped CW FIR  $^{12}$ CH<sub>3</sub>OH laser lines have been measured relative to stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> lasers. Fifteen new laser lines together with the relative output powers and polarizations for most of the 104 known lines pumped by laser lines in the normal 9 and 10  $\mu$ m bands of  $^{12}$ Cl<sup>16</sup>O<sub>2</sub> are also reported.

ETHYL alcohol was one of the first molecules which was optically pumped by CO<sub>2</sub> lasers to produce CW FIR laser radiation [1]. Indeed, at the present time, over 100 FIR lines have been observed to lase when pumped by lines in the normal 9 and 10  $\mu$ m bands of the  $^{12}C^{16}O_2$  laser [1]-[6], and the list is increasing as the FIR lasers are refined. (Here, we exclude from consideration FIR lines produced by sequence band CO<sub>2</sub> lasers; e.g., see Weiss et al. [7].) The known lines span the wavelength spectrum from 38 µm to 1.2 mm with CW power outputs from less than 0.1 to over 100 mW, depending on the line and experimental conditions. The frequency stability of this optically pumped laser appears to be better than that of discharge pumped FIR lasers [8], and the uncertainty in the frequency reproducibility is of the order of a few parts in 10<sup>7</sup>. As a result of these characteristics, the methyl alcohol laser has application in laser frequency synthesis [9], [10], laser magnetic resonance spectroscopy of molecules and free radicals [11], spectroscopy of methyl alcohol itself [6], FIR detector development and evaluation [18], etc. Generally, in the work in which new CH<sub>3</sub>OH laser emission lines have been reported, wavelengths have been measured rather crudely—usually with fractional uncertainties of  $10^{-2}$ - $10^{-3}$ . These uncertainties are too large for frequency synthesis and are usually too large for spectroscopy since at 40 μm, e.g., an uncertainty of 10<sup>-2</sup> corresponds to 2.5 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which is three times the rotational constant in the vibrational ground state of methyl alcohol. As a result, frequency measurements have been made on a number of these lines [12]. We report here measurements on 70 additional lines, a number of which are strong and useful for metrology and spectroscopy. Over a thousandfold improvement in the accuracy of frequencies derived from wavelength measurements has been attained.

Methyl alcohol was optically pumped either by a 2 or 3 m  $^{12}C^{16}O_2$  laser with an output power typically of the order

Manuscript received September 18, 1979.
F. R. Petersen, K. M. Evenson, and D. A. Jennings are with the National Bureau of Standards, Boulder, CO 80302.

A. Scalabrin was with the National Bureau of Standards, Boulder, CO. He is now with Instituto de Fisica, UNICAMP, Campinas, Brazil-13100.

of 20 W. Two FIR cavities were used. One was a 2 m long by 14 mm diameter copper waveguide with flat copper mirrors, one of which was movable for tuning purposes. Pump power was coupled into the waveguide through a 1 mm hole in the center of the fixed mirror. FIR power was coupled out of the cavity through a 3 mm hole located 5.5 mm from the center of the same mirror. Methyl alcohol pressures ranging from 4 to 80 Pa (1 torr = 133.3 Pa) were adjusted to maximize the FIR output power.

Since the bandpass of the frequency measurement system was only about 1500 MHz, reduction of the wavelength uncertainty was required in many cases (e.g., 1500 MHz at 40 µm implies  $\Delta \lambda / \lambda = 2 \times 10^{-4}$ ). Because of the complicated mode structure in the waveguide cavity, it was difficult to make accurate wavelength measurements by varying the length of the cavity, particularly at wavelengths below 100  $\mu$ m. Also, some of the longer wavelength lines, e.g., 1.2 mm, would not oscillate in this cavity. Therefore, a 1 m Fabry-Perot free-space cavity was constructed. Again, CO<sub>2</sub> power was coupled into the cavity through a 1 mm hole in one copper end mirror (2 m radius), and, for the first group of measurements, FIR power was coupled out through a 3 mm hole 5.5 mm from the center of the same mirror. Later, a variable coupler consisting of an elliptical mirror formed by cutting and polishing a 6 mm diameter copper cylinder at 45° was constructed. The mirror could be moved perpendicular to the cavity axis to optimize the output coupling at each wavelength. The reflected radiation was transmitted through a polyethylene window in the side of the vacuum chamber of the laser. A flat gold-coated pyrex mirror at the other end was adjusted by a calibrated micrometer to change the resonant frequency of the cavity. Higher order transverse modes and longer wavelength lines could be controlled by irises at each end of the resonator. CO<sub>2</sub> pump powers and methyl alcohol pressures were about the same as in the waveguide case. Total FIR output power was less, but fewer modes were oscillating and more accurate wavelength measurements were much easier to make.

In cases where the wavelengths were poorly known, or unknown in the case of new lines, new measurements were made by counting the number of modes over a 5 mm scan by the movable end mirror. The micrometer scale was calibrated from the known wavelength of the 118.8  $\mu$ m <sup>12</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>OH line. For wavelengths greater than about 50  $\mu$ m, measurements could be made with fractional uncertainties between 10<sup>-3</sup> and 10<sup>-4</sup>, which allowed frequency predictions within the 1500 MHz bandpass of the frequency measurement system. How-

TABLE I SUMMARY OF 12CH3OH LASER FREQUENCY MEASUREMENTS. VACUUM Wavenumbers were Calculated with c = 299 792 458 m/s.

<sup>12</sup> CH <sub>3</sub> OH Laser Line λ(μm)	Measured Frequency (MHz) (Uncertainty: $\frac{\Delta v}{v} = \pm 5 \times 10^{-7}$ ) <sup>a</sup>	Vacuum Wavenumber (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	<sup>12</sup> CH <sub>3</sub> OH Laser Press. Pa(mTorr) <sup>b</sup>	<sup>12</sup> C <sup>16</sup> O <sub>2</sub> Pump Line	12 <sub>CH3</sub> 0H Laser Line A(µm) (	Measured Frequency (MHz) Uncertainty: $\frac{A^{3}}{V} = \pm 5 \times 10^{-7}$ )	Vacuum Wavenumber (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	<sup>12</sup> CH <sub>3</sub> OH Laser Press. Pa(mTorr) <sup>b</sup>	<sup>12</sup> c <sup>16</sup> 0 <sub>2</sub> Pump Line
37.9	7 919 660.2	264.171 428	24(180)	P <sub>II</sub> (32)	191.6	1 564 518.7	52.186 726	21(160)	R <sub>I</sub> (10
39.9	7 509 036.2	250.474 487		P <sub>II</sub> (34)	193.1	1 552 190.1	51.775 487	11( 80)	P <sub>II</sub> (38
42.2	7 110 981.4	237.196 807	32(240)	P <sub>11</sub> (32)	194.1	1 544 818.7	51.529 607	21(160)	R <sub>II</sub> (14
53.9	5 566 052.7	185.663 533	32(240)	R <sub>1</sub> (36)	198.7	1 509 040.2	50.336 162	11(80)	P <sub>11</sub> (38
55.4	5 414 344.1	180.603 079	15(110)	P <sub>II</sub> (40)	206.8	1 449 778.0	48.359 388	4( 30)	P <sub>II</sub> (12
60.2	4 982 153.1	166.186 738	11(83)	P <sub>II</sub> (40)	209.9	1 428 057.6	47.634 874	21(160)	R <sub>II</sub> (14
61.6	4 865 709.8	162.302 607	15(110)	R <sub>II</sub> (18)	211.26	1 419 049.3	47.334 389	15(110)	$R_{\tau}^{11}$ (4
63.0	4 761 182.4	158.815 951	15(110)	R <sub>1</sub> (16)	211.31	1 418 701.0	47.322 770	4(30)	P <sub>II</sub> (12
63.4	4 730 860.6	157,804 522	15(110)	P <sub>II</sub> (34)	213.5	1 404 427.0	46.846 644	10(75)	P <sub>II</sub> (22
67.5	4 441 675.2	148.158 338	15(110)	R <sub>II</sub> (18)	225.5	1 329 362.9	44.342 773		R <sub>II</sub> (8
69.7	4 302 444.9	143.514 116	15(110)	R <sub>I</sub> (16)	232.8	1 287 832.2	42.957 458	21(160)	R <sub>II</sub> (22
70.5 <sup>c,d,e</sup>	4 251 674.0	141.820 579	7(50)	P <sub>II</sub> (34)	232.9 <sup>c,d,f</sup>	1 286 999.5	42.929 683	15(110)	R <sub>I1</sub> (10
73.3	4 089 579.6	136.413 691	21(160)	P <sub>II</sub> (40)	242.5 <sup>c</sup>	1 236 396.8	41.241 758		R <sub>T</sub> (34
77.4	3 873 005.1	129.189 543	,	R <sub>II</sub> (8)	250.8 <sup>c,d</sup>	1 195 433.9	39.875 383	12( 90)	R <sub>T</sub> (34
77.9	3 848 185.5	128.361 651	19(140)	R <sub>I</sub> (16)	251, 1 <sup>c,d</sup>	1 193 727.3	39.818 455	15(110)	R <sub>T</sub> (38
85.6	3 502 210.2	116.821 159	21(160)	P <sub>II</sub> (40)	251.4	1 192 338.3	39.772 124	11( 80)	R <sub>II</sub> (18
86.2	3 476 282.5	115.956 302	(/	R <sub>II</sub> (8)	253.6	1 182 366.2	39.439 490	9(70)	P <sub>II</sub> (34
92.5	3 239 461.6	108.056 807	10(75)	P <sub>II</sub> (24)	254.0	1 180 092.5	39.363 649	7(55)	P <sub>II</sub> (34
92.7	3 235 253.6	107.916 443	10( 75)	R <sub>1</sub> (34)	263.7	1 136 942.0	37.924 304	7( 55)	P <sub>II</sub> (34
96.5 <sup>c</sup> ,d,f	3 105 936.8	103.602 901	13(100)	R <sub>II</sub> (10)	264.5	1 133 277.0	37.802 053	8( 60)	P <sub>II</sub> (34
97.5	3 074 210.0	102.544 609	28(210)	R <sub>I</sub> (40)	267. 4 <sup>C</sup>	1 120 957.7	37. 391 122	5( 55)	R <sub>T</sub> (34
100.8	2 973 940.6	99.199 981	35(260)	R <sub>II</sub> (14)	278.8	1 075 277.1	35.867 385	13(100)	P <sub>II</sub> (38
113.7	2 635 958.0	87.926 096	29(220)	R <sub>II</sub> (8)	280.9	1 067 127.2	35.595 533	23(170)	R <sub>II</sub> (18
118.0 <sup>C</sup>	2 541 485.6	84.774 833	23(220)	P <sub>II</sub> (14)	292.1	1 026 189.3	34.229 989	11( 80)	P <sub>II</sub> (38
118.8 <sup>c,d,f</sup>	,9 2 522 781.6	84.150 935	7(50)	P <sub>II</sub> (36)	293.8	1 020 321.1	34.034 249	15(110)	R <sub>I</sub> (10
129.5 <sup>C</sup>	2 314 111.3	77.190 443	/( 30)	R <sub>I</sub> (34)	302,0 <sup>C</sup>	992 708.9	33.113 206	15(110)	P <sub>II</sub> (14
129.5 133.1 <sup>C</sup>	2 314 111.3	75.120 443			346.5	865 233.1	28.861 069	12( 90)	
151.3	1 982 050.6	66.114 090	23(170)	P <sub>II</sub> (24)	369.1 <sup>c,d</sup>	812 195.4	27.091 924	7( 50)	P <sub>II</sub> (22
151.3				R <sub>II</sub> (26)	386.3	775 982.4	25.883 986	11( 80)	P <sub>II</sub> (16
163.0 <sup>c</sup> ,d	1 877 508.5 1 838 839.3	62.626 943	23(170) 7(50)	R <sub>II</sub> (26)	392.1 <sup>c,d</sup>	764 642.6	25.505 733	11( 80)	P <sub>II</sub> (14
164.5 <sup>C</sup>		61.337 076	/( 50)	R <sub>I</sub> (38)	416.5 <sup>C</sup>	719 751.1	24.008 311	11( 00)	P <sub>II</sub> (36
	1 822 362.7	60.787 476	26(100)	P <sub>11</sub> (14)	418.1 <sup>C</sup>	717 065.0	23.918 714		P <sub>II</sub> (14
164.6	1 821 335.2	60.753 202	16(120)	P <sub>II</sub> (16)	469.0 <sup>C,d</sup>	639 184.6	21.320 902	17(120)	P <sub>II</sub> (36
164.7	1 820 261.5	60.717 388	9(70)	P <sub>II</sub> (24)	570.6 <sup>c,d,f,h</sup>	525 427.5	17.526 375	17(130) 11(80)	R <sub>I</sub> (38
164.8 <sup>C</sup>	1 819 314.0	60.685 781	00/070	R <sub>II</sub> (10)	602.5	497 591.6	16.597 869		P <sub>II</sub> (16
167.6	1 788 876.6	59.670 502	28(210)	R <sub>I</sub> (40)	614.3	488 034.7	16.279 084	10( 75)	P <sub>11</sub> (24
170.6 <sup>c,d,q</sup>		58.624 765	7( 50)	P <sub>II</sub> (36)	624.6	488 U34.7 480 105.7		10( 75)	P <sub>II</sub> (24
179.7	1 668 035.0	55.639 660	15(110)	R <sub>I</sub> (4)	624.6 694.2		16.014 602	7(50)	P <sub>II</sub> (38
180.7	1 659 278.6	55.347 575	8(60)	P <sub>II</sub> (34)	694.2	431 859.8	14.405 294	9(70)	P <sub>II</sub> (24
185.5	1 616 128.4	53.908 241	9( 70)	P <sub>II</sub> (34)	695.3 699.4 <sup>c,d,f</sup>	431 139.0	14.381 248	17(130)	R <sub>1</sub> (16
186.0	1 611 421.9	53.751 247	16(120)	R <sub>II</sub> (18)		428 628.5	14.297 508	7(50)	P <sub>11</sub> (34
186.3	1 609 026.7	53.671 355	7(55)	P <sub>II</sub> (34)	1 223.7	244 996.6	8.172 206		P <sub>II</sub> (16
190.7	1 571 849.7	52.431 263	11(80)	P <sub>II</sub> (34)					

<sup>a</sup>Estimated uncertainty in the reproducibility of the FIR laser frequency.

ever, for shorter wavelengths, errors were often made in counting the number of modes in the 5 mm scan. Therefore, these shorter wavelengths were measured with 0.8 m Ebert-Fastie scanning monochromator equipped with a 30 line/mm grating

and 200 µm slits. These measurements allowed frequency predictions well within the bandpass of the system.

The FIR power was focused onto a long wire (~3 mm) W-Ni point-contact diode with a 3 cm focal length polyethylene lens.

bPressure was measured with a capacitance manometer in torr (1 torr = 133.322 Pa) or with a thermocouple gauge which was calibrated for methyl alcohol with the capacitance manometer. Pressure was adjusted to produce maximum power out for the CO2 pump power and FIR cavity loss condition. FIR laser frequency measurement was made at this pressure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>These FIR laser lines were from the optically pumped 2-m wave guide cavity. All others were from the optically pumped 1-m Fabry-Perot cavity.

dPetersen et al. [12].

eFrequency for this line reflects a better determination of line center. Under certain pumping conditions this line has an asymmetric splitting. Apparently, in the previous measurement (4 251 668.7 MHz), the FIR laser frequency was set to the center of the low frequency side lobe of the gain curve.

These lines were reproduced with a Fabry-Perot cavity (versus waveguide cavity in previous measurement), and their frequencies were remeasured. Average difference was 0.3 MHz; maximum difference was 0.7 MHz.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>g</sup>Fetterman et al., 118.8 μm, 2522.78(1) GHz; 170.6 μm, 1757.526(6) GHz [18].

hKrammer and Weiss, 525 428.3(3) MHz [19].

The angle between the beam and the wire was adjusted to maximize the coupling. The FIR frequencies were synthesized by two  $^{12}C^{16}O_2$  lasers and an X-band klystron as described previously [12]. Each  $CO_2$  laser was stabilized to the standing-wave saturation resonance in a low pressure (5.3 Pa) intracavity  $CO_2$  absorption cell [13], [14]. The X-band klystron was stabilized to a quartz crystal, and its frequency was counted. As a result, uncertainty in the synthesized frequency was less than 100 kHz. The beat signal was amplified (25 dB) and measured on a 1500 MHz spectrum analyzer with a marker oscillator and frequency counter.

The new frequency measurements, along with previous results (13 lines) [12], are presented in Table I. Vacuum wavenumbers are derived from the frequency measurements with  $c=299\ 792\ 458\ m/s$  [15]. Each line is labeled by the approximate wavelength and the  $^{12}C^{16}O_2$  pump line. In most cases, the  $^{12}CH_3OH$  pressure at which each frequency measurement was made is also given. The pressure was adjusted to maximize the FIR output power for the given  $CO_2$  pump power and FIR cavity loss conditions.

The estimated fractional uncertainty in the reproducibility of each FIR frequency of about  $\pm 5 \times 10^{-7}$  results from several factors. Many of the FIR lines have a splitting which may result from the Stark effect, from an offset between the CO2 pump frequency and the alcohol absorption frequency, or from both [16, [17]. Sometimes this splitting, which may be of the order of 10 MHz, is very asymmetric with one of the peaks very weak in relation to the other. (An extreme pumping situation can exist where only one of the peaks is above threshold.) In all of the measurements, the CO<sub>2</sub> pump frequency was first adjusted to minimize the splitting and asymmetry of the FIR laser gain curve. The FIR laser frequency was then set midway between the extinction points on the gain curve and measured. The estimated error in part reflects the uncertainty in this procedure. No corrections have been applied for Stark shifts, which may be of the order of several MHz depending on the frequency and pump power, or for pressure shifts, which are estimated to be considerably smaller. The remainder of the estimated error covers variations in these frequency shifts arising because of different CO<sub>2</sub> pump power and CH<sub>3</sub>OH pressure conditions.

Two preliminary investigations were made to test the frequency reproducibility of the methyl alcohol laser under different pump power and CH<sub>3</sub>OH pressure conditions. The early frequency measurements (24 lines) were done with the optically pumped waveguide laser. To determine if there was a measurable frequency difference between the waveguide and Fabry-Perot lasers, five of the early measurements were repeated with the Fabry-Perot cavity (see Table I). The new values agreed with the old ones to within the error limits. Since there is some variation in the optimum pressure for a given FIR CH<sub>3</sub>OH laser line, depending on pumping and coupling conditions, type of cavity, cavity loss, etc., an attempt was made to measure the pressure shift of the 96.5  $\mu$ m line which oscillates over a pressure range from 8 to 80 Pa. Other effects, such as pulling by the pump laser, tended to obscure the pressure shift. However, a preliminary result indicates a shift of about + 8(8) kHz/Pa (80 percent confidence interval).

Thus, these variations appear to be within the estimated uncertainty. The estimated error, then, does not include any of the systematic frequency shifts from the unperturbed molecular frequency. It represents only an estimate of the reproducibility of the FIR laser frequencies in an optically pumped Fabry-Perot or waveguide cavity.

Table II is a summary of all known CW FIR laser lines in CH<sub>3</sub>OH pumped by the <sup>12</sup>C<sup>16</sup>O<sub>2</sub> laser. This table contains a total of 104 lines. The relative powers, pressure for maximum power, and polarization for most of the 93 lines which oscillate in the 1 m long, variable output coupling, Fabry-Perot FIR laser cavity were measured. Additional lines are reported in the literature, and Table II contains 11 of these that do not oscillate in this laser but which have been clearly identified by wavelength and pump line. The references in Table II correspond to the first citation of the line in the literature, and, when there is doubt, more than one reference is given. The relative powers were measured with a diamond window Golay cell with a 0.24 mm thick crystal quartz filter to remove 10 µm radiation. Calibrated attenuators were used to prevent saturation of the Golay detector. The relative powers were not normalized for line-to-line variations in CO<sub>2</sub> pump powers or for any other effects which could cause variations from one pump line to another. The relative powers are, therefore, most useful for comparing intensities of FIR lines produced by a given CO<sub>2</sub> pump line under optimum coupling conditions for the Fabry-Perot type laser described here. The Golay detector, calibrated with a commercial power meter, had an approximate calibration factor of 0.017 mW/relative power unit.

Methyl alcohol is still one of the best FIR laser molecules with many strong lines over a wide spectral range. The only comparable optically pumped laser molecule is difluormethane,  $CH_2F_2$ , which has fewer but very strong lines [24]. The new data presented in this paper should make  $^{12}CH_3OH$  an even more useful submillimeter laser molecule for applications where broad spectral coverage and accurate line frequency values are needed.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank J. O. Henningsen of the University of Copenhagen for sharing wavelength measurements of some of the methyl alcohol lines prior to publication. Also, we thank D. J. E. Knight, National Physical Laboratory, England, for pointing out the error in the initial 70  $\mu$ m frequency measurement.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Y. Chang, T. J. Bridges, and E. G. Burkhardt, "cw submillimeter laser action in optically pumped methyl fluoride, methyl alcohol, and vinyl chloride gases," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 17, pp. 249-251, 1970.
- [2] D. T. Hodges, R. D. Reel, and D. H. Barker, "Low-threshold cw submillimeter and millimeter wave action in CO<sub>2</sub>-laser pumped C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>F<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>3</sub>OH," *IEEE J. Quantum Electron*. (Notes and Lines), vol. QE-9, pp. 1159-1160, Dec. 1973.
- (Notes and Lines), vol. QE-9, pp. 1159-1160, Dec. 1973.
  [3] R. J. Wagner, A. J. Zelano, and L. H. Ngai, "New submillimeter laser lines in optically pumped gas molecules," Opt. Commun., vol. 8, pp. 46-47, 1973.
- [4] S. F. Dyubko, V. A. Svich, and L. D. Fesenko, "Submillimeter CH<sub>3</sub>OH and CH<sub>3</sub>OD lasers with optical pumping," Sov. Phys. Tech. Phys., vol. 18, p. 1121, 1974.

TABLE II

SUMMARY OF KNOWN SUBMILLIMETER LASER LINES OBTAINED FROM

12CH3OH PUMPED BY 12C16O2. RELATIVE OUTPUT POWERS, UNNORMALIZED FOR PUMP POWER AND CAVITY LOSS VARIATIONS, ARE GIVEN FOR THE 1 m, VARIABLE OUTPUT COUPLING, FABRY-PEROT LASER. THE METHYL ALCOHOL PRESSURE WAS ADJUSTED TO MAXIMIZE THE FIR OUTPUT POWER. PRIMED AND DOUBLE PRIMED CO2 LINES DENOTE DIFFERENT PUMP FREQUENCIES.

12 <sub>C</sub> 16 <sub>O</sub> 2 Pump Line	<sup>12</sup> CH <sub>3</sub> OH Laser Line λ(μm)	Relative Power	12 <sub>CH3</sub> 0H Laser Press. Pa(mTorr)	Relative Polariz.	Reference	12 <sub>C</sub> 16 <sub>0</sub> 2 Pump Line	<sup>12</sup> CH <sub>3</sub> OH Laser Line λ(μm)	Relative Power	12 <sub>CH3</sub> OH Laser Press. Pa(mTorr)	Relative Polariz.	Reference
R <sub>II</sub> (26)	151.3	9	23(170)	11	3,4		180.7		8( 60)	1	4
	159.7	7	23(170)	11	4		185.5	10	9(65)	1	1
							186.3		7( 55)	1	New
11(22)	232.8	1	16(120)	11	4,6		190.7	7	9(65)	1	1
(19)	61.6	3	41(310)	1	New		237.6 <sup>a,t</sup>	l		11	1
R <sub>II</sub> (18)	67.5	0.5	33(250)	1	New		253.6	6	9(65)	1.1	1
	186.0	10	41(310)	11	3,4		254.0		7(55)	11	1
	251.4	4	33(250)	11	4,6		263.7		7( 55)	1.1	1
	280.9	2	23(170)	11	4,6		264.5	4	9(65)	11	1
					New		292.5 <sup>a,t</sup>		15(110)	1	1
R <sub>II</sub> (14)	100.8	9	28(210)	11	New		699.4	27	15(110)	1	1
	194.1	3.6	27(200)	1	6 4,6	P <sub>II</sub> (36)	118.8	160	17(130)	1	1
	209.9	3.8	27(200)	11	4,6	11.	170.6	58	8(60)	11	1
R <sub>II</sub> (10)	96.5	20	80(600)	13	3,4,12		202.4 <sup>a,t</sup>	l		1.6	1
11	164.8	27	73(550)	1	4,20		392.1	35	19(140)	1	1
	232.9	20	73(550)	11	4,12		418.1	0.8	9(70)	1	1
						D (20)	193.1	2.2	11( 80)	1	1
R <sub>II</sub> (8)	77.4	5	60(450)	11	New	P <sub>II</sub> (38)	198.7	2.4	11( 80)	1	1
	86.2	1	60(450)	11	4		278.8	2.4	11( 80)	11	1
	113.7	1.5	40(300)	1	New		292.1	2	11( 80)	11	1
	225.5	0.5	40(300)	1.1	4		624.6	0.6	7(50)	1	New
P <sub>II</sub> (10)	45.6 <sup>a</sup>	0.2	27(200)		New					_	
11.	214.3 <sup>a,b</sup>	0.1	4(30)	11	6	P <sub>II</sub> (40)		1	15(110)	1	6
	218.2 <sup>a,b</sup>	0.1	4(30)	11	6		60.2	0.7	9(65)	41	6
							73.3	0.1	20(150)	11	6
P <sub>II</sub> (12)	206.8	0.3	4(30)	1.1	6		85.6	0.1	20(150)	1.1	6
	211.3 290.6 <sup>a,b</sup>	1	4( 30)	1	6 6	R <sub>I</sub> (48)	286 <sup>a,b</sup>				22
Y <sub>II</sub> (14)	37 <sup>a</sup>	0.1	5(40)		New	R <sub>I</sub> (46)	274 <sup>a</sup>	0.5	11( 80)		22
11	118.0	10	23(170)	i I	6	R <sub>I</sub> (44)	121 <sup>a</sup>	0.5	13(100)		22
	164.5	12	13(100)	1	22	1	251 <sup>a</sup>	0.4	13(100)		22
	302.0	4	13(100)	1	6						
	386.3	2	13(100)	1.1	6	R <sub>I</sub> (40)		14	37(280)	1	22
	416.5	5	15(110)	1.1	22		167.6	7	27(200)	1.1	New
11(16)'	570.6	50	13(100)	63	1	R <sub>1</sub> (38)	163.0	30	13(100)	1.1	23
. 11(20)	1 223.7	0.3	7(65)	1.1	21	•	251.1	4	13(100)	1.1	23
							469.0	50	13(100)	1	23
P <sub>II</sub> (16)"	44 <sup>a</sup>	2.5	11( 80)	1	New	D (26)	43.8 <sup>a</sup>	0.7	20(150)		
	164.6	8	11( 80)	1	1	R <sub>I</sub> (36)	43.8 53.9	2.7 7	20(150) 32(240)	1	New
	223.5 <sup>a</sup>			1.1	1		33.3	,	32(240)	1	New
	369.1	15	20(150)	Li	1	R <sub>1</sub> (34)	43.4 <sup>a,b</sup>			i i	6
2 - (22)	213.5	0.9	15(110)	1.1	4	1	92.7	1	13(100)	1.1	6
P <sub>II</sub> (22)	346.5	1.8	15(110)	1+	4		129.5	5	13(100)	1.1	20
		0	(110)	1.1	•		242.5	10	17(130)	1.1	22
P <sub>II</sub> (24)	92.5	5	17(130)	1.1	6		250.8	11	13(100)	1.1	5
	133.1	12.5	20(150)	1.1	4		267.4	7	15(110)	1	6
	164.7	7.5	13(100)	1	4	- /	,., ,a		E / 40\		2
	311.2 <sup>a,b</sup>			1	4	R <sub>I</sub> (32)	242.8 <sup>a</sup>	0.1	5(40)		3
	602.5	2	13(100)	1!	4	R <sub>I</sub> (16)	63.0	10	16(120)	11	New
	614.3	1.5	13(100)	11	6	1 (=3)	69.7	6.4	20(150)	1	6
	694.2	2	13(100)	1.1	6		77.9	10	25(190)	- 11	22
P <sub>II</sub> (32)	37.9	15	33(250)		2		695.3	1	17(130)	11	22
	42.2	10	53(400)	H	2				. ,		
		**				R <sub>I</sub> (10)	191.6	50	23(170)	11	3
P <sub>II</sub> (34)	39.9 43.4 <sup>a,b</sup>	10	17(125)	1	2 2	-	293.8	5	27(200)	11	5
	63.4	33	10( 75)	1.1	2	R <sub>I</sub> (4)	179.7	11	20(150)	1.1	New
	70.5	45	13( 95)	1	1	I	211.3	16	35(260)	11	22
	80.3 <sup>a,b</sup>		( 55 )	-	2		495 <sup>a</sup>	0.5	20(150)	1	22

<sup>a</sup>No frequency measurements were done on these lines.

<sup>b</sup>These lines did not oscillate in the 1 m Fabry-Perot laser.

- [5] H. E. Radford, "New cw lines from a submillimeter waveguide laser," *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.*, vol. QE-11, pp. 213-214, May 1975.
- [6] J. O. Henningsen, "New FIR laser lines from optically pumped CH<sub>3</sub>OH: measurements and assignments," *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.*, vol. QE-14, pp. 958-962, Dec. 1978.
- [7] C. O. Weiss, M. Grindo, and K. Siemsen, "FIR laser lines of CH<sub>3</sub>OH pumped by CO<sub>2</sub> laser sequence lines," *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.*, vol. QE-13, p. 892, Nov. 1977.
- [8] J. J. Jimenez and F. R. Petersen, "Recent progress in laser frequency synthesis," *Infrared Phys.* vol. 17, pp. 541-546, 1977.
- [9] D. A. Jennings, F. R. Petersen, and K. M. Evenson, "Direct frequency measurement of the 260 THz (1.15 μm) <sup>20</sup>Ne laser: and beyond," in *Laser Spectroscopy IV*, H. Walther and K. W. Rothe, Eds. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1979, pp. 39-48.
- [10] D. J. E. Knight, G. J. Edwards, P. R. Pearce, N. R. Cross, T. G. Blaney, and B. W. Jolliffe, "Progress in a new measurement of the frequency of the methane-stabilized laser at 3.39 

  µm (88 THz)," 

  Proc. 6th Vavilov Conf. Nonlinear Opt., Novosibirsk, USSR, 1979 
  (to be published).
- [11] J. T. Hougen, J. A. Mucha, D. A. Jennings, and K. M. Evenson, "Far infrared laser magnetic resonance spectrum of CH," J. Mol. Spectrosc., vol. 72, pp. 463-483, 1978.
- [12] F. R. Petersen, K. M. Evenson, D. A. Jennings, J. S. Wells, K. Goto, and J. J. Jimenez, "Far infrared frequency synthesis with stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> lasers: Accurate measurements of the water vapor and methyl alcohol laser frequencies," *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.*, vol. QE-11, pp. 838-842, Oct. 1975. Tables reprinted in more readable form in *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.*, vol. QE-12, pp. 86-87, Feb. 1976.
- [13] C. Freed and A. Javan, "Standing-wave saturation resonances in the CO<sub>2</sub> 10.6 μ transitions observed in a low-pressure roomtemperature gas," Appl. Phys. Lett., vol. 17, pp. 53-56, 1970.
- [14] F. R. Petersen, D. G. McDonald, J. D. Cupp, and B. L. Danielson, Laser Spectroscopy, R. G. Brewer and A. Mooradian Eds. New York: Plenum, 1974, pp. 171-191.
- [15] J. Terrien, "International agreement on the value of the velocity of light," Metrologia, vol. 10, p. 9, 1974.
- [16] J. Heppner, C. O. Weiss, and P. Plainchamp, "Far infrared gain measurements in optically pumped CH<sub>3</sub>OH," Opt. Commun., vol. 23, pp. 381-384, 1977.
- [17] J. Heppner and C. O. Weiss, "Anistropic gain in an optically pumped cw FIR laser," Opt. Commun., vol. 21, pp. 324-326, 1977.
- [18] H. R. Fetterman, B. J. Clifton, P. E. Tannenwald, and C. D. Parker, "Submillimeter detection and mixing using Schottky diodes," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 24, pp. 70-72, 1974.
  [19] G. Kramer and C. O. Weiss, "Frequencies of some optically
- [19] G. Kramer and C. O. Weiss, "Frequencies of some optically pumped submillimeter laser lines," Appl. Phys., vol. 10, pp. 187-188, 1976.
- [20] S. Kon, T. Yano, E. Hagiwara, and H. Hirose, "Far infrared laser action in optically pumped CH<sub>3</sub>OD," *Japan. J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 14, pp. 1861-1862, 1975.
- [21] A. Tanaka, A. Tanimoto, N. Murata, M. Yamanaka, and H. Yoshinaga, "Optically pumped far-infrared and millimeter wave waveguide lasers," *Japan. J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 13, pp. 1491-1492, 1974.
- [22] A. Tanaka, M. Yamanaka, and H. Yoshinaga, "New far-infrared laser lines from CO<sub>2</sub>-laser-pumped CH<sub>3</sub>OH gas by using a copper waveguide cavity," *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.*, vol. QE-11, pp. 853-854, Oct. 1975.
- [23] Y. S. Domnin, V. M. Tatarenkov, and P. S. Shumyatskii, "New emission lines of a CH<sub>3</sub>OH laser pumped by a CO<sub>2</sub> laser radiation," Sov. J. Quantum Electron., vol. 4, pp. 401-402, 1974.
- Sov. J. Quantum Electron., vol. 4, pp. 401-402, 1974.
  [24] A. Scalabrin and K. M. Evenson, "Additional cw FIR laser lines from optically pumped CH<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>," Opt. Lett., vol. 4, pp. 277-279, 1979



F. Russell Petersen was born in DeSmet, SD, on August 21, 1930. He received the B.S. degree from South Dakota School of Mines and Technology, Rapid City, and the Ph.D. degree from the University of California, Berkeley, both in physics, in 1952 and 1961, respectively.

In 1961 he joined the National Bureau of Standards, Boulder, CO, where he initially conducted molecular beam research. For the last six years he has been engaged in various CO<sub>2</sub> laser stabilization and IR frequency syn-

thesis programs.

Dr. Petersen is a member of the American Physical Society.

Kenneth M. Evenson was born in Wisconsin. He received the B.S. degree from Montana State University, Bozeman, and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees from Oregon State University, Corvallis, in 1960 and 1963, respectively.

He was a Fulbright Scholar at Tübingen University, from 1955 to 1956. Since 1963 he has been with the National Bureau of Standards, Boulder, CO.

Dr. Evenson is a member of the American Physical Society. He received the Department of Commerce Silver Medal, the Gold Medal, and the National Bureau of Standards Stratton Award.



Donald A. Jennings was born in Stanton, MI, on October 30, 1930. He received the B.S. degree from Central Michigan College of Education, Mount Pleasant, in 1954, the M.S. degree from Michigan State University, East Lansing, in 1958, and the Ph.D. degree, in physics, from the University of Colorado, Boulder, in 1962.

He began work at the Boulder Laboratories, National Bureau of Standards, Boulder, CO, in 1961 as a Physicist, and has worked in the fields of gamma-ray spectroscopy, laser spec-

troscopy, nonlinear optics, Raman and Brillouin scattering, dye-laser technology (both pulsed and CW), and laser frequency area. The work in calorimetric laser measurements has led to the development of probably the most precise method available for determining the energy of a laser pulse.

Dr. Jennings is a member of the American Physical Society. He received the Department of Commerce Gold Medal Award in 1970 for his work in calorimetric laser measurements.

Artemio Scalabrin was born in Caxias do Sul, RS, Brazil, on October 16, 1942. He received the B.S. degree from Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil, in 1967, the M.S. degree from Escola de Engenharia de Sao Carlos, USP, Brazil, in 1969, and the Ph.D. degree from the University of Southern California, Los Angeles, in 1974, all in physics.

He has taught General Physics at the University of Southern California and UNICAMP, Campinas, Brazil. Presently he is an Assistant Professor at the Instituto de Fisica, UNICAMP, SP, Brazil.

Dr. Scalabrin is a member of the Sociedade Brasileira de Fisca, the Sociedade Brasileira Para o Progresso da Ciencia, the American Physical Society, and the Optical Society of America.