The bending vibration of FeD$_2$ at 226 cm$^{-1}$, detected by far-infrared laser magnetic resonance spectroscopy
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We report the first gas phase observation of a bending vibration in an open shell molecule by far-infrared laser magnetic resonance. The spectrum is due to FeD$_2$; its observation shows that rovibrational transitions can be detected with such a spectrometer. The molecule was produced in the reaction between deuterium atoms and iron pentacarbonyl. FeD$_2$ has a linear $^5\Delta$ ground state and shows the effects of Renner–Teller coupling in the excited vibrational (010) level. © 1997 American Institute of Physics. [S0021-9606(97)03627-1]

Using a far-infrared laser magnetic resonance (FIR LMR) spectrometer we have detected resonances near 226 cm$^{-1}$ which belong to the fundamental bending vibration ($v_2$) of FeD$_2$. This represents not only the first gas phase spectrum of FeD$_2$ but also the first detection of a vibration–rotation transition in the far-infrared spectral region which involves an open shell molecule. Previous FIR LMR experiments have detected either rotational or fine structure transitions. In addition, our measurements permit an interesting spectroscopic study of the Renner–Teller effect occurring in a molecule in a $\Delta$ electronic state.

The spectral region between 100 and 400 cm$^{-1}$ is especially interesting because it embraces many bending vibrations; it is, however, difficult to access with currently available techniques. Infrared sources such as lead salt diode lasers do not operate below 400 cm$^{-1}$. On the other side of this region, although there is a plentiful supply of far-infrared (FIR) laser lines below 100 cm$^{-1}$, there are very few which have been characterized above this wave number. In recent years there has been strong development of short wavelength FIR lasers, especially those based on CO$_2$ laser radiation. When combined with a high sensitivity technique such as laser magnetic resonance, the possibility of detecting vibration–rotation transitions of molecules in the gas phase is opened up. At the moment, the shortest wavelength obtainable in our spectrometer is 35.97 $\mu$m (278 cm$^{-1}$).

Vibration–rotation transitions of several closed shell species have already been recorded in the FIR using laser based methods, although all at longer wavelengths. The great majority of these are vibration–rotation tunneling transitions in weakly bound complexes. The only chemically bound molecule for which a FIR vibrational transition has been observed is C$_3$, for which the bending vibration fundamental band at 63.1 cm$^{-1}$ was measured. The earliest of these experiments used fixed frequency lasers and Stark tuning, but more recent measurements have been made with tunable sideband spectrometers.$^8$

FeD$_2$ has been detected previously in matrix studies of the dihydrides of iron,$^9$ and wave numbers of the antisymmetric bending vibrations have been measured. Related theoretical investigations of the electronic structure$^{12,13}$ suggest that the molecule has a linear $^5\Delta_g$ ground state. Consequently, it has no permanent electric dipole moment and therefore does not have a detectable rotational spectrum. A recent study of the antisymmetric stretching vibration of FeH$_2$ in the infrared spectral region by LMR spectroscopy$^{14}$ is the first gas phase spectrum of this molecule. It gave a set of molecular parameters and confirmed the theoretical prediction of the ground state. It also provided the stimulus for the present work. Since the bending frequency of FeH$_2$ is at 325 cm$^{-1}$, outside the present operating range of our FIR spectrometer, we have studied FeD$_2$ instead.

FeD$_2$ is linear in its $^5\Delta$ vibronic ground state and can be described with an effective Hamiltonian operator using a Hund’s case a basis set. Since the ground state is inverted, the lowest spin component is $^5\Delta_4$. When one quantum of the bending vibration is excited ($v_2 = 1$), two unique vibronic levels are formed through the coupling of the vibrational angular momentum $G$ with the orbital angular momentum $L$.

The vibronic levels are then characterized by the coupled momenta,$^{25} P = K + \Sigma$, $l = \pm 1$. In the case of FeD$_2$, the unique vibronic levels are $^3\Pi$ and $^3\Phi$, with lowest spin components $^3\Pi_3$ and $^3\Phi_5$, respectively. Figure 1 shows the vibronic energy levels of the (000) and (010) levels of FeD$_2$; the effects of spin-orbit coupling have to be superimposed on this scheme. The separation between the two unique levels is given by $g_K \cdot \Lambda \cdot \Delta K$. The value for $g_K$ is not known, but it probably has a magnitude of a few wave number units, judging from other molecules.$^16$

For our measurements, we used a FIR LMR spectrometer in an intracavity configuration. The details of the spectrometer have been given elsewhere.$^{17}$ The FIR radiation originates from a methanol laser pumped by an infrared CO$_2$ laser. FeD$_2$ was produced inside a 2-T magnet using a microwave discharge of 2450 MHz running at 60 W in 133
The signals were modulated at 39 kHz with the lock-in amplifier using a 1-f detection. We have detected several spectra which are attributable to FeD₂, as well as other signals not yet assigned but probably belonging to further FeD₂ transitions or, possibly, fine structure transitions in FeD. Table I gives a summary of laser lines on which resonances have been observed and the assignments of some of the signals to transitions in FeD₂. So far, we have assigned one transition in the ⁴Π₂(000)−⁴Δ₂, (000) system and another one in the ⁴Φ₂(010)−⁴Δ₂, (000) system. Figure 2 shows a part of the spectrum arising from Q-branch transitions between the lowest spin components of the states involved (⁴Π₂−⁴Δ₂). The resonances do not show the typical Q-line pattern expected when Δg = 0; this is because the additional vibrational angular momentum in the upper state changes the effective g factor for a given rotational state with respect to its value in the lower state. In addition to the Q-branch resonances with J = 4 to 8 in the ⁴Π₂−⁴Δ₂ subband, we have detected one R and two P lines. We have performed a least squares fit on these data with an effective Hamiltonian operator using a ²Δ basis set to describe the ground state and a ⁴Π basis set for the upper state, from which we obtain values for ν₀, B₀, and α₈. Table II lists the fitted values and a comparison with the corresponding parameters in the matrix studies and isotopically scaled parameters from the mid-infrared LMR study of FeH₂. Since we have assigned only Q lines in the ⁴Φ₃−⁴Δ₂ vibronic subband, we cannot determine an accurate value for the rotational constants in these states; it is nevertheless possible to fit the measurements with effective Hamiltonian; see Table II. From the large change in the centrifugal distortion constant D on vibrational excitation, we can determine an approximate value for g_K. We determine g_K to be about −0.92 cm⁻¹; this means that the ⁴Φ state lies about 3.68 cm⁻¹ below the ⁴Π state.

In the gas phase, a subband origin for transitions between two components has contributions from the vibrational origin, the rotational and spin-orbit coupling terms, and also from the vibronic parameter g_K. In so far as we know these parameters, we have included their effects in our calculation. A comparison of the matrix value of the bending wave number is not straightforward for two reasons. First, the gas phase band origin depends on the value of several quantities which are not yet determined for FeD₂. The value of ν₀ determined from the fit of the ⁴Φ₃−⁴Δ₂ resonances is likely to be more reliable of the two because all the spin-dependent contributions to the band origin vanish in this case (since the states involved have Σ = 0). Second, it is not clear how many of these contributions are quenched in the matrix, quite apart from the usual matrix effects. If we assume that neither the rotation nor the spin-orbit terms have an effect in the matrix, we should compare the gas phase band origin with the corresponding parameters in FeH₂. From Ref. 13. The infrared spectrum of FeH₂ is due to the antisymmetric stretching vibration and gives a value of α₈ rather than α₁₋₂. To obtain a value to compare with the FeD₂ results, we have used α₁₋₂ = −1/2α₁₋₂.

There are four reasons for the assignment of the spectrum to FeD₂: (i) the matrix values for the vibrational wave number of the FeD₂ bending motion in both xenon and argon are close to the values obtained by FIR LMR; (ii) the
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**TABLE I.** Summary of measured laser lines and assigned transitions observed in the ν₂ fundamental band of ⁵⁶FeD₂ by FIR laser magnetic resonance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIR laser line</th>
<th>µm</th>
<th>GHz</th>
<th>Lasing gas</th>
<th>Pump</th>
<th>FeD₂ transition</th>
<th>⁴Π₁−⁻⁴Δ₂</th>
<th>⁴Φ₁−⁻⁴Δ₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39.924</td>
<td>7509.0362̊</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>9P34</td>
<td></td>
<td>R(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.355</td>
<td>7249.2660</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>10R18</td>
<td></td>
<td>Q branch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.871</td>
<td>7159.8953</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>9P16</td>
<td></td>
<td>Q branch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.697</td>
<td>6860.6642</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>10R36</td>
<td>Q branch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.781</td>
<td>6847.4732</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>9P16</td>
<td></td>
<td>Q branch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.245</td>
<td>6775.7835</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>9P10</td>
<td></td>
<td>P(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.165</td>
<td>6493.9115</td>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>9P52</td>
<td></td>
<td>P(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.793</td>
<td>6406.7929</td>
<td>CH₃OD</td>
<td>9P52</td>
<td></td>
<td>P(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The measured laser frequencies have a fractional uncertainty of 2 × 10⁻⁷.*
rotational parameters are in agreement with the isotopic scaled molecular parameters from FeH$_2$;\(^{14}\) (iii) the experimental data can be fitted to the expected model by assigning the resonances to two different transitions, $^5\Pi_3 \rightarrow ^5\Delta_4$ and $^5\Phi_3 \rightarrow ^5\Delta_2$; and (iv) the latter spectrum shows $K$-type doubling with the 2:1 intensity distribution expected from nuclear spin statistics for FeD$_2$.

Many resonances in our spectra still await assignment. The transitions within the same vibronic systems but arising from different spin components are expected to occur in the same region. There is also a possibility of detecting fine structure transitions of FeD$_2$ would have only magnetic dipole intensity and are therefore expected to be 1–2 orders of magnitude weaker than vibrational transitions. However, FeD is strongly perturbed and could, by analogy with FeH\(^{19}\) show very strong fine structure transitions which probably occur from different spin components are expected to occur in the same region. There is also a possibility of detecting fine structure transitions. Since our preliminary analysis shows that the ground $^3\Delta$ state is essentially unperturbed, fine structure transitions of FeD$_2$ would have only magnetic dipole intensity and are therefore expected to be 1–2 orders of magnitude weaker than vibrational transitions. However, FeD is strongly perturbed and could, by analogy with FeH\(^{19}\) show very strong fine structure transitions which probably occur within the region covered by our spectra.

The authors thank E. Telles for measuring the frequencies of some laser lines. H. K. thanks the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD, Doktorandenstipendium HSP II/ AUF) for financial support.
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**TABLE II. Preliminary values of molecular constants cm$^{-1}$.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>$^5\Pi_3 \rightarrow ^5\Delta_4$</th>
<th>$^5\Phi_3 \rightarrow ^5\Delta_2$</th>
<th>Isotopic scaling$^c$</th>
<th>Refs. and 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^3\Pi_1 \rightarrow ^3\Delta_2$</td>
<td>221.143 21</td>
<td>226.060</td>
<td>232(Xe), 235(Ar)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_0$</td>
<td>1.541 61</td>
<td>1.525 537 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_{2.2}$</td>
<td>0.013 018</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
<td>0.008 425</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Parameters determined from a fit of the data assigned to the transition $^3\Pi_1 \rightarrow ^3\Delta_2$ to an effective Hamiltonian.

$^b$Parameters determined from a fit of the data assigned to the transition $^3\Pi_1 \rightarrow ^3\Delta_2$ to an effective Hamiltonian.

$^c$Values estimated from those of FeH$_2$ determined in Ref. 14.
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