

## **NEW FIR LASER LINES AND FREQUENCY MEASUREMENTS IN CD<sub>3</sub>OD**

**E. C. C. Vasconcellos,\* A. Scalabrin,\* F. R. Petersen,  
and K. M. Evenson**

*National Bureau of Standards  
Boulder, Colorado 80303*

Received February 2, 1981

Twenty-four new submillimeter laser lines in fully deuterated methyl alcohol (CD<sub>3</sub>OD) in the wavelength range from 52 to 328  $\mu\text{m}$  have been obtained in a Fabry-Perot FIR resonator by optically pumping the methanol with a cw CO<sub>2</sub> laser. We have made accurate wavelength measurements and have determined the relative polarization of most of the known CD<sub>3</sub>OD laser lines. The frequencies of 13 of the strongest lines were also measured.

Key words: CD<sub>3</sub>OD, optically pumped FIR laser, CO<sub>2</sub> laser, laser frequency measurements, relative intensity, relative polarization.

\*Postdoctoral Fellow from FAPESP, São Paulo, Brazil  
Permanent addresses: Instituto de Física, UNICAMP,  
Campinas, Brazil

Contribution of the U.S. Government, not subject to copyright.

Submillimeter wave laser action in fully deuterated methyl alcohol ( $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ ) was first observed by Kon et al. who reported eight short wavelength lines pumped by laser lines in the R-branch of the  $10.4 \mu\text{m}$  band of  $\text{CO}_2$  (1). A new line pumped by the  $R_1(18)$  line was obtained by Duxbury and Herman at a wavelength of  $869 \mu\text{m}$  (2). Herman and Prewer (3) later reported seven additional new laser lines in  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$  pumped by various  $\text{CO}_2$  lines from  $P_1(10)$  to  $R_1(36)$ . In this work, we report 24 additional submillimeter laser lines in  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$  in the wavelength range from  $52$  to  $328 \mu\text{m}$ . All were obtained by optically pumping 99% fully deuterated  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$  in a 1 m Fabry-Perot FIR resonator with various cw laser lines in the R-branches of the 9 and  $10 \mu\text{m}$  bands of  $\text{CO}_2$ . This FIR laser, described previously by Scalabrin and Evenson (4), is useful for detecting lasing over the wavelength range from about  $35$  to  $1200 \mu\text{m}$ .

The measured wavelength, relative polarization, optimum operating pressure, and output power for 34 FIR  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$  laser lines are listed in Table I. On each pump line, the  $\text{CO}_2$  frequency was scanned within the gain profile to maximize the FIR output power. Different optimum pump frequencies within the same line are denoted by prime and double-prime. The wavelength measurements were made with  $\pm 0.1 \mu\text{m}$  accuracy by counting the modes in a calibrated 5 mm scan of one end mirror of the Fabry-Perot resonator. The polarizations of the FIR lines relative to the  $\text{CO}_2$  laser lines were determined by using a metal mesh or stacked plate polarizer in front of the FIR detector. Pressures were measured with a thermocouple gauge calibrated with a capacitance manometer, and the values presented in Table I are those to obtain maximum FIR output power. Output powers were measured with either a Golay or pyroelectric detector, each provided with an X-cut crystal quartz filter ( $0.24 \text{ mm}$  thick) to block the  $\text{CO}_2$  pump radiation. In addition, calibrated attenuators were used to prevent saturation of the detectors. The strongest FIR line obtained is the  $184.8 \mu\text{m}$  line pumped by the  $R_1(24)$   $\text{CO}_2$  laser line. This line exhibited approximately the same power as the  $118.8 \mu\text{m}$   $\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$  line in this same laser with optimum coupling for each line.

We have also measured the frequency of 13 strong lines. The measurements were performed as described previously (5) and are listed in Table II.

Since there are some differences in the wavelengths and relative polarizations for certain lines as reported by various authors, we show these in Table III. It is worth mentioning that Duxbury and Herman (2) used a circular waveguide cavity, while Kon et al. (1) used an open structure cavity similar to ours.

Finally, we want to mention that the wavelengths of 17 lines out of the 24 new ones obtained are in the frequency region below 150  $\mu\text{m}$ . Thus, these new lines in CD<sub>3</sub>OD contribute to a region of the electromagnetic spectrum which is somewhat sparsely populated by known laser lines (6).

#### References

1. S. Kon, E. Hagiwara, T. Yano, and H. Hirose, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 14, 731 (1975).
2. G. Duxbury and H. Herman, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys. 11, 935 (1978).
3. H. Herman and B. E. Prewer, Appl. Phys. 19, 241 (1979).
4. A. Scalabrin and K. M. Evenson, Opt. Lett. 4, 277 (1979).
5. F. R. Petersen, K. M. Evenson, D. A. Jennings, J. S. Wells, K. Goto, and J. J. Jiménez, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. QE-11, 838 (1975).
6. J. J. Gallagher, M. D. Blue, B. Bean, and S. Perkowitz, Infrared Phys. 17, 43 (1977).

Table I. Summary of observed submillimeter laser lines from  $^{12}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}^{16}\text{O}$  pumped by the normal laser bands of  $^{12}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}_2$ . FIR laser output power from the 1 m Fabry-Perot resonator under the specified pump conditions and for optimum output coupling was measured either with a Golay or pyroelectric detector and adjusted for wavelength dependence. Response is assumed to be independent of intensity level over the ranges used. For intensity comparison, this same laser produced 2.5 mW from the 118.8  $\mu\text{m}$  line of  $\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$  pumped by 22 W of  $P_{\text{I}}(36)$  radiation.

CO <sub>2</sub> Pump Line	CD <sub>3</sub> OD Laser Line $\lambda(\mu\text{m})$	Relative Polariza- tion	CD <sub>3</sub> OD Laser Press. Pa(mTorr)	FIR Output Power** (mW)	CO <sub>2</sub> Pump Power (W)	Reference
R <sub>I</sub> ( 4)	344.8*		13( 95)	0.6	27	1
R <sub>I</sub> ( 6)	130.5		11( 85)	0.008	30	New
R <sub>I</sub> (10)	86.5		14(105)	0.2	42	New
	227.7*	⊥	14(105)	0.2	42	1
	314.8*		10( 75)	0.2	42	1
R <sub>I</sub> (12)'	104.3		21(160)	0.2	37	New
	107.5*		24(180)	0.3	37	New
	108.7		17(125)	0.2	37	New
R <sub>I</sub> (12)''	410.7*		15(115)	0.5	37	1
R <sub>I</sub> (16)'	52.4		10( 75)	0.02	30	New
	82.2		7( 55)	0.006	30	New
	354.2*		11( 85)	0.2	30	3
R <sub>I</sub> (16)''	87.3		9( 65)	0.006	30	New
R <sub>I</sub> (20)	80.5	⊥	18(135)	0.2		New
R <sub>I</sub> (22)	165.6*	⊥	11( 85)	0.08	32	3
R <sub>I</sub> (24)	184.8*	⊥	20(155)	2	37	1
	298.7*		20(155)	0.4	37	1
	486.5	⊥	20(155)	0.01		3
R <sub>I</sub> (26)'	119.1*	⊥	24(180)	0.03	32	3
	124.8*	⊥	17(130)	0.008	32	New
R <sub>I</sub> (26)''	97.5	⊥	15(115)	0.03		New
R <sub>I</sub> (28)'	122.3*	⊥	17(130)	0.2	25	New
R <sub>I</sub> (28)''	73.8		13(100)	0.005	25	New
	80.5		13(100)	0.007	25	New

R <sub>I</sub> (30)'	192.5	⊥	14(105)	0.03	38	New
R <sub>I</sub> (30)''	232.4		12( 90)	0.01	38	New
R <sub>I</sub> (40)	210.5		6( 45)	0.01	26	New
	255.3	⊥	6( 45)	0.03	26	New
R <sub>II</sub> ( 4)	124.5	⊥	5( 40)	0.002		New
	152.5	⊥	5( 40)	0.003		New
R <sub>II</sub> ( 8)	141.3	⊥	7( 50)	0.01	18	New
	270.7*	⊥	10( 75)	0.02	18	New
R <sub>II</sub> (28)	327.8	⊥	6( 45)	0.005	20	New
R <sub>II</sub> (38)	64.4		10( 75)	0.002	13	New

' and '' indicate different CO<sub>2</sub> laser frequency offsets.

\* Frequency measured and listed in Table II. Wavelengths have been corrected where necessary from frequency measurements.

\*\*Power output is for coupling the pump laser to the FIR laser with a 2 m radius mirror through a 0.75 mm diameter hole. It was later found that power output could be increased by about a factor of seven by use of a 0.6 m radius mirror and a 2 mm diameter coupling hole. Optimum pressures were then about a factor of two larger than those listed in the table.

Table II. Summary of the CD<sub>3</sub>OD frequency measurements.

CD <sub>3</sub> OD Laser Line λ(μm)	Measured Frequency (MHz) (Uncertainty: $\frac{\Delta\nu}{\nu} = \pm 5 \times 10^{-7}$ ) <sup>a</sup>	Vacuum Wavenumber (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>b</sup>	CD <sub>3</sub> OD Pressure Pa(mTorr) <sup>c</sup>	CO <sub>2</sub> Pump Line
107.5	2 787 789.4	92.990 646	12( 90)	R <sub>I</sub> (12) <sup>'</sup>
119.1	2 518 067.7	83.993 698	14(105)	R <sub>I</sub> (26) <sup>'</sup>
122.3	2 451 203.1	81.763 335	17(130)	R <sub>I</sub> (28)
124.8	2 402 224.0	80.129 568	16(120)	R <sub>I</sub> (26) <sup>'</sup>
165.6	1 810 294.3	60.384 919	5( 40)	R <sub>I</sub> (22)
184.8	1 622 555.2	54.122 615	14(105)	R <sub>I</sub> (24)
227.7	1 316 838.7	43.925 013	10( 75)	R <sub>I</sub> (10)
270.7	1 107 337.9	36.936 818	7( 55)	R <sub>II</sub> ( 8)
298.7	1 003 536.6	33.474 377	11( 85)	R <sub>I</sub> (24)
314.8	952 203.9	31.762 105	11( 85)	R <sub>I</sub> (10)
344.8	869 522.7	29.004 156	9( 70)	R <sub>I</sub> ( 4)
354.2	846 450.3	28.234 542	9( 70)	R <sub>I</sub> (16) <sup>'</sup>
410.7	729 932.8	24.347 937	15(115)	R <sub>I</sub> (12) <sup>''</sup>

<sup>'</sup> and <sup>''</sup> indicate different CO<sub>2</sub> laser frequency offsets.

<sup>a</sup>Estimated uncertainty in the reproducibility of the FIR laser frequency.

<sup>b</sup>Calculated from the measured frequency with  $c = 299\,792\,458$  m/s.

<sup>c</sup>Pressure at which each frequency was measured as determined by a thermocouple gauge calibrated with a capacitance manometer (1 Torr = 133.3 Pa).

Table III. FIR lines from CD<sub>3</sub>OD previous to this work.

CO <sub>2</sub> Pump Line	$\lambda(\mu\text{m})$	Rel.		This Work	
		Polarization	Ref.	$\lambda(\mu\text{m})$	Rel. Polarization
P <sub>1</sub> (10)	78		3		
R <sub>1</sub> (4)	339	⊥	1	344.8	
R <sub>1</sub> (10)	229	⊥	1	227.7	⊥
	312	⊥	1	314.8	
R <sub>1</sub> (12)	406	⊥	1	410.7	
R <sub>1</sub> (16)	354		3	354.2	
R <sub>1</sub> (18)	41	⊥	1	*	
	869		2	*	
R <sub>1</sub> (22)	165		3	165.6	⊥
R <sub>1</sub> (24)	184	⊥	1	184.8	⊥
	299	⊥	1	298.7	
	495		3	486.5	⊥
R <sub>1</sub> (26)	119		3	119.1	⊥
R <sub>1</sub> (28)	35		3	**	
R <sub>1</sub> (30)	150		3	**	
R <sub>1</sub> (36)	255	⊥	1	*	

\* FIR laser lines at 41.4, 43.7, and 858.3  $\mu\text{m}$  pumped by R<sub>1</sub>(18) and 253.7  $\mu\text{m}$  pumped by R<sub>1</sub>(36) were observed. However, these four lines lased much more strongly in CD<sub>3</sub>OH and, thus, probably originate from this molecule.

\*\* These FIR laser lines did not oscillate in our laser.