

New far-infrared hydrazine laser lines and their frequencies

E. C. C. Vasconcellos,* S. C. Zerbetto,* and K. M. Evenson

Time and Frequency Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, 325 Broadway, Boulder, Colorado 80303-3328

L. R. Zink

Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Science, University of Colorado, and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 325 Broadway, Boulder, Colorado 80303-3328

Received November 30, 1994; revised manuscript received March 3, 1995

We have discovered 74 far-infrared laser lines pumped by regular and sequence lines of a cw CO₂ laser. The wavelengths range from 49.2 to 708.3 μm. These new lines are to our knowledge the shortest-wavelength far-infrared lines yet observed lasing in hydrazine. Frequency, pump offset, and relative polarization were measured for most of these new lines.

1. INTRODUCTION

Hydrazine (N₂H₄) is a promising far-infrared (FIR) laser molecule for generating FIR radiation. A total of 75 FIR laser lines have been obtained from hydrazine pumped by CO₂ lasers, most at wavelengths longer than 200 μm, and 6 other lines from hydrazine pumped by a N₂O laser.¹ Of these, only 14 lines are pumped by the 10R branch of the CO₂ laser.

We report 74 new FIR laser lines from hydrazine, most of them of wavelengths shorter than 200 μm, pumped by regular and nonregular lines of a CO₂ laser. A pair of frequency-stabilized CO₂ lasers was used as standards for heterodyne frequency measurements of 40 of the new laser lines. We also report the relative polarization, relative intensity, and operating pressure for most of these lines, along with the measurements of the pump offset for 61 lines.

2. EXPERIMENT

A. Wavelength Measurements

The pump laser is a 1.5-m-long, cw CO₂ laser with a 171-line/mm grating for the 9-μm band or a 163-line/mm grating for the 10-μm band. Exceptional frequency discrimination of the gratings plus the ribbed laser tube permit the operation of the laser on nonregular CO₂ laser lines; regular and nonregular laser lines have powers up to 30 and 11 W, respectively. The FIR laser cavity consists of a rectangular waveguide with the top and bottom walls made of oxygen-free copper 35 mm wide, and the vertical walls are made of window glass 6 mm high.² The cavity is 2 m long with gold-coated flat copper mirrors located a few millimeters from each end of the waveguide. The lowest-loss mode has the laser electric field parallel to the metal walls (i.e., horizontal). The pump radiation is focused into the FIR cavity through a 1-mm-diameter hole in the center of the fixed end mirror. The other end

mirror is fastened to a micrometer and can be moved longitudinally to tune the cavity into resonance with the FIR laser lines. The cavity thus serves as an interferometer for wavelength measurements. The FIR laser power is coupled out through a movable 5-mm-diameter 45° copper mirror inserted into the waveguide perpendicular to the laser axis. By adjusting the position of the coupling mirror, we can maximize the FIR laser power leaving the cavity through a silicon Brewster-angle window in the opposite wall. The FIR laser radiation is focused onto a metal-insulator-metal (MIM) diode used as a detector. We obtained the wavelengths of the new lines by measuring the FIR cavity length change for a change of 10 wavelengths.

B. Frequency Measurements

Two frequency-stabilized CO₂ lasers and a microwave source were used to measure the frequency of the FIR laser line. These, plus the unknown FIR radiation, were mixed on a MIM point contact diode.³ The beat note, with a typical width of 20 kHz, generated by the MIM diode is amplified and displayed on a spectrum analyzer with a peak-hold feature that records the beat note as the FIR laser is tuned over its gain curve. The center of this recording is then marked with an oscillator, whose frequency is counted. The FIR frequency is then determined by the equation

$$\nu_{\text{FIR}} = |\nu_1 - \nu_2| \pm \nu_{\text{beat}} \pm \nu_{\mu\text{wave}}, \quad (1)$$

where ν_1 and ν_2 are the CO₂ laser frequencies, $\nu_{\mu\text{wave}}$ is the frequency of the microwave source, and ν_{FIR} is the laser frequency to be measured. ν_1 , ν_2 , and $\nu_{\mu\text{wave}}$ are chosen so that

$$0 < |\nu_{\text{beat}}| < 1.5 \text{ GHz}. \quad (2)$$

Table 1. New N₂H₄ Far-Infrared Laser Lines

Pump Line CO ₂ Laser ^a	Wavelength (μm)	Offset (MHz)	Pressure Pa (mTorr)	Relative Polarization	Relative Intensity (mV) ^b	Pump Power (W)
9R(50)	65.842	-9	52(400)		0.3	8.0
9R(46)	154.065	-2	11(80)		0.5	15.0
	454.7	-2	33(250)		0.2	15.0
9R(42)	349.345	—	20(105)	⊥	4.0	25.0
9R(36)	101.618	-38	27(200)		1.0	30.0
9R(34)	283.947	—	13(100)		6.0	30.0
9R(26)	327.102 ^c	-13	20(105)		1.0	27.0
9R(14)	85.717	-50	27(200)		2.0	25.0
9R(10)	249.092 ^c	-20	20(150)		0.6	20.0
9R(08)	81.099	-12	33(250)		1.5	15.0
9R(04)	76.511	+40	13(100)		0.3	10.0
	125.7	—	20(250)		0.2	10.0
9SP(11)	85.9	—	13(100)		2.0	7.0
9P(08)	708.3	—	20(150)		0.4	10.0
9P(14)	90.373	-32	52(400)		2.5	25.0
9P(16)	84.765	+8	20(150)		0.2	25.0
	90.724	-45	20(150)		1.5	25.0
9SP(13)	103.950	-12	52(450)		6.0	7.0
9P(30)	156.107	+28	20(150)		0.3	28.0
9P(32)	73.868	+28	40(300)		1.0	27.0
pP(46)	160.628	-7	27(200)		3.0	18.0
	312.027	-7	27(200)		2.5	18.0
9P(50)	142.462	+43	20(150)		2.5	15.0
9P(52)	121.199	-45	27(200)		1.0	13.0
9P(56)	182.346	-32	20(150)		1.5	12.0
10R(54)	109.849	-19	21(160)		0.4	5.5
	128.077	+19	33(250)		1.6	5.5
10R(52)	142.335	+40	43(320)		1.5	6.0
10R(50)	59.544	-16	117(880)		1.0	
	61.9	—	96(720)		0.6	17.0
	113.621 ^d	+7	29(220)		1.2	17.0
	113.621 ^d	+7	29(220)		1.2	17.0
	183.254	+7	29(220)	⊥	0.2	17.0
10R(48)	109.378	+10	40(300)		0.3	20.0
10R(44)	113.064	-22	44(330)		1.5	18.0
10R(42)	84.417	+44	44(320)		0.5	18.0
	89.677	-23	20(250)		0.3	18.0
10R(40)	201.796	-36	64(490)		3.0	
10R(38)	235.559 ^c	—	25(190)		5.0	22.0
10R(36)	109.164	-14	25(190)		0.2	25.0
	160.448	+44	33(250)		1.5	21.0
	312.027	+44	37(280)	⊥	1.0	21.0
10R(34)	143.974	+41	40(300)	⊥	3.0	21.0
	153.4	—	29(220)		5.0	21.0
	218.562	+44	40(300)		4.0	21.0
10R(30)	264.715	-10	33(250)		1.0	21.0
10R(28)	153.324	+42	28(210)		2.0	20.0
10SR(27)	161.146	+7	37(280)		2.0	9.0
10SR(23)	91.539	+26	32(240)		3.5	11.0
	109.277	-28	25(190)		5.0	11.0
	267.4	+47	32(240)		0.6	11.0
10R(18)	107.416	—	36(270)		0.1	16.0
	116.7	-33	40(300)		0.1	16.0
10SR(21)	319.249	—	27(200)		4.0	9.0
10SR(17)	186.159	+19	20(150)		6.0	11.0
10HR(14)	151.635	-30	13(100)		0.6	
	190.353	-8	13(100)	⊥	0.2	
10SR(11)	125.885 ^d	+36	13(100)		1.0	6.0
	125.885 ^d	+36	13(100)		1.0	6.0
	198.488	—	11(80)		0.03	6.0
10SR(09)	266.128	0	20(150)	⊥	5.0	8.0
	464.264	0	19(140)		6.0	8.0
10R(04)	58.598	—	108(810)		0.2	15.0
	116.466	+39	39(290)		6.0	15.0

(Table Continued)

Table 1. Continued

Pump Line CO ₂ Laser ^a	Wavelength (μm)	Offset (MHz)	Pressure Pa (mTorr)	Relative Polarization	Relative Intensity (mV) ^b	Pump Power (W)
10P(02)	74.627	—	21(160)		0.1	8.0
	165.480	-11	23(170)		0.3	8.0
10P(04)	49.2	-36	24(180)		0.1	10.0
	78.1	-33	23(170)		0.1	10.0
	90.307	—	27(200)	\perp	0.03	10.0
	114.183	+42	28(210)		1.5	10.0
	124.090	—	39(290)		0.2	10.0
	142.830	—	20(150)		0.2	10.0
	186.1	+42	24(180)	\perp	0.02	
10P(10)	206.692	+37	40(300)		0.4	9.0
10P(12)	157.133	-43	24(180)		0.5	7.0
10P(30)	111.234	-8	13(100)		0.1	
10SP(29)	185.572	-12	20(150)		2.0	

^aS, sequence line; H, hot-band line.^b119 μm from CH₃OH had relative intensity of ~ 8 mV in the same cavity under similar conditions.^cDoublets; see Table 2.^dPreviously reported; see Ref. 1.**Table 2. Far-Infrared Frequency Measurements of the New Optically Pumped N₂H₄ Laser Lines**

Pump Line CO ₂ Laser	Measured Frequency (MHz) ^a	Calculated Wavelength (μm) ^b	Wave Number (cm ⁻¹)	Pump Line CO ₂ Laser	Measured Frequency (MHz) ^a	Calculated Wavelength (μm) ^b	Wave Number (cm ⁻¹)
10R(04)	5 116 059.9	58.598	170.6534	10R(54)	2 340 717.5	128.077	78.0779
10R(50)	5 034 814.5	59.544	164.9433	10R(52)	2 106 238.3	142.335	70.2565
9R(50)	4 553 228.0	65.842	151.8793	9P(50)	2 104 368.9	142.462	70.1942
9P(32)	4 058 513.9	73.868	135.3775	10P(04)	2 098 942.5	142.830	70.0132
10P(02)	4 017 213.1	74.627	133.9998	10R(34)	2 082 274.2	143.974	69.4572
9R(04)	3 918 281.0	76.511	130.6998	10HR(14)	1 977 072.4	151.635	65.9480
9R(08)	3 696 638.2	81.099	123.3066	10R(28)	1 955 291.8	153.324	65.2215
10R(42)	3 551 318.2	84.417	118.4592	9R(46)	1 945 888.1	154.065	64.9078
9P(16)	3 536 744.1	84.765	117.9731	9P(30)	1 920 424.5	156.107	64.0585
9R(14)	3 497 481.1	85.717	116.6663	10P(12)	1 907 887.0	157.133	63.6403
10R(42)	3 343 021.8	89.677	111.5112	10R(36)	1 868 475.0	160.448	62.3256
10P(04)	3 319 710.8	90.307	110.7336	9P(46)	1 866 375.4	160.628	62.2556
9P(14)	3 317 269.0	90.373	110.6523	10SR(27)	1 860 374.8	161.146	62.0554
9P(16)	3 304 457.8	90.724	110.2244	10P(02)	1 811 655.9	165.480	60.4303
10SR(23)	3 275 035.8	91.539	109.2434	9P(56)	1 644 081.4	182.346	54.8407
9R(36)	2 950 180.8	101.618	98.4074	10R(50)	1 635 937.6	183.254	54.5690
9SP(13)	2 884 000.7	103.950	96.1999	10SP(29)	1 615 503.5	185.572	53.8874
10R(18)	2 790 942.8	107.416	93.0958	10SR(17)	1 610 436.0	186.156	53.7184
10R(36)	2 746 267.9	109.164	91.6056	10HR(14)	1 574 927.0	190.353	52.5339
10SR(23)	2 743 410.5	109.277	91.5103	10SR(11)	1 510 382.3	198.488	50.3809
10R(48)	2 740 883.2	109.378	91.4260	10R(40)	1 485 618.5	201.796	49.5549
10R(54)	2 729 129.8	109.849	91.0340	10P(10)	1 450 431.1	206.692	48.3812
10P(30)	2 695 149.6	111.234	89.9005	10R(34)	1 371 660.8	218.562	45.7537
10R(44)	2 651 522.1	113.064	88.4453	9R(10)	1 203 541.3	249.092	40.1458
10R(50)	2 638 540.7	113.621	88.0122 ^c	10R(30)	1 132 510.3	264.715	37.7765
10R(50)	2 638 537.7	113.621	88.0121 ^c	10SR(09)	1 126 495.9	266.128	37.5759
10P(04)	2 625 546.4	114.183	87.5788	9R(34)	1 055 803.2	283.947	35.2178
10R(04)	2 574 085.5	116.466	85.8622	10R(36)	960 791.1	312.027	32.0485
9P(52)	2 473 562.9	121.199	82.5092	10SR(21)	939 054.3	319.249	31.3235
10P(04)	2 415 908.4	124.090	80.5860	9R(26)	916 511.7	327.102	30.5715
10SR(11)	2 381 482.4	125.885	79.4377 ^c	10SR(09)	645 737.5	464.264	21.5395
10SR(11)	2 381 478.9	125.885	79.4376 ^c				

^aEstimated uncertainty in the reproducibility of the FIR laser frequency: $\Delta\nu/\nu = 2 \times 10^{-7}$.^bCalculated from the measured frequency with $c = 299\,792\,458$ m/s.^cDoublets.

C. Offset Measurements

To measure the offset between the pump frequency and the center frequency of the CO₂ laser line, a frequency-stabilized CO₂ laser set to the same laser line as the pump

is mixed with the pump frequency in a MIM diode. The radiation of a few gigahertz from a microwave source is added to the MIM whenever the pump line is a sequence line to make up the difference in frequency between the

regular and sequence lines. The MIM diode generates a beat note

$$\nu_{\text{beat}} = |\nu_{\text{ref}} - \nu_{\text{pump}}| \pm n\nu_{\mu\text{wave}}, \quad (3)$$

where ν_{ref} is the frequency of the reference CO₂ laser, ν_{pump} is the pump frequency, $\nu_{\mu\text{wave}}$ is the frequency of the microwave source, and n is the harmonic order generated by the diode. In the case of regular lines the beat note frequency is the pump offset frequency. We can easily determine the sign of the unknown frequency by slightly varying the frequency of the pump laser and the microwave source and noting the relative frequency change of the beat note.

3. CONCLUSIONS

The 74 new FIR laser lines generated by hydrazine are listed in Table 1 together with their optimum pressure, relative polarization, offset, CO₂ pump power, and relative intensity. Their wavelengths are in the range 49.2–708.3 μm . The intensities are proportional to the rectified voltage on the MIM diode. Several new laser lines were very strong, with intensities comparable with that obtained for the 119- μm laser line of methanol observed in the same FIR cavity. The majority of the laser lines have wavelengths shorter than 200 μm . Nineteen of the laser lines have wavelengths shorter than 100 μm ; the shortest is the 49.2- μm line pumped by 10P(04).

Table 2 lists the frequency measurements in the range 0.6–5.1 THz (464.3–58.6 μm). Two of the new lines were in fact doublets: the 113.6- μm line, pumped by 10R(50), and the 125.9- μm line, pumped by 10SR(11).

The great success of hydrazine as a FIR laser molecule is due to its strong absorption band in the 10- μm region, associated with the NH₂ antisymmetric wagging motion of the molecule,⁴ and to its fairly large electric dipole moment (1.8 D).^{5,6} One can understand the richness of the rovibrational spectrum by considering the splittings caused by the inversion of the two NH₂ groups and the internal rotation about the N–N bond.⁷

In conclusion, we have increased the number of hydrazine lines to 155, and more than two thirds of the frequencies of these have now been measured. Thirteen of the new lines were pumped by high- J CO₂ laser lines

($J > 48$), and sixteen of them were pumped by either sequence or hot-band laser lines. With these results we conclude that the N₂H₄ is a very good FIR laser medium not only because of the great number of laser lines produced but also because many of them are strong and at high frequency ($\lambda < 200 \mu\text{m}$), in contrast with those reported in the earliest works on hydrazine.^{1,8} They will be useful for both atomic and molecular spectroscopy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study has been financed by the Brazilian government (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico) and by the United States Government (NASA, grant W-18, 623).

*Permanent address, Instituto de Física “Gleb Wataghin,” Departamento de Eletrônica Quântica, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, 13083-970 Campinas, SP, Brasil.

REFERENCES

1. E. C. C. Vasconcellos, L. R. Zink, G. P. Galvão, and K. M. Evenson, “New N₂H₄ far infrared laser lines and frequencies,” *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* **30**, 2401–2406 (1994).
2. M. Inguscio, F. Sturmia, K. M. Evenson, D. A. Jennings, A. Scalabrin, and S. R. Stein, “Far-infrared CH₃F laser,” *Opt. Lett.* **4**, 9–11 (1979).
3. F. R. Petersen, K. M. Evenson, D. A. Jennings, J. S. Wells, K. Goto, and J. J. Jimenez, “Far infrared frequency synthesis with stabilized CO₂ lasers: accurate measurements of the water vapor and methyl alcohol laser frequencies,” *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* **QE-11**, 838–843 (1975).
4. Y. Hamada, A. Y. Hirakawa, K. Tamagake, and M. Tsuboi, “Amino wagging and inversion in hydrazines: antisymmetric wagging band of NH₂NH₂,” *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **35**, 420–426 (1970).
5. L. F. Audrieth, W. Nespital, and H. Ulich, “Electric moments of hydrazine and its derivatives,” *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **55**, 673–678 (1933).
6. B. K. Schmitz and W. B. Eules, “A comparative theoretical study of hydrazine,” *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **257**, 227–242 (1992).
7. T. Kasuya, “Microwave studies of internal motions of hydrazine molecule,” *Sci. Papers Inst. Phys. Chem. Res. (Tokyo)* **56**, 1–39 (1962).
8. H. Jones, G. Taubmann, and M. Takami, “The optically pumped hydrazine FIR laser: assignments and new laser lines,” *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* **QE-18**, 1997–1999 (1982).